Development Name:	BAY POINTE IV A	PARTMENTS		Total # Units:	46				
Location:	Grissom Parkway / Monticello Drive, Myrtle Beach, South Carolina SC # LIHTC Units:								
PMA Boundary:	North - 3.0 miles; Sout	North - 3.0 miles; Southeast - 1.0 mile; Northeast - 7.0 miles; Southwest - 6.0 miles							
Development Type:	XX Family Older Persons Farthest Boundary Distance to Subject:								

Additional and open to be open in page of y										
Туре	# Properties	Total Units	Vacant Units	Average Occupancy						
All Rental Housing (excl. rehab projects)	22	3,057	166	94.6%						
Market-Rate Housing Assisted/Subsidized Housing not to	14	2,359	153	93.5%						
include LIHTC	2	142		~~						
LIHTC (All that are stabilized)*	6	556	9	98.4%						
Stabilized Comps**	6	556	9	98.4%						
Non-stabilized Comps	0	0		****						

*Stabilized occupancy of at least 93% (Excludes projects still in initial lease up). **Comparables - comparable to the subject and those that compete at nearly the same rent levels and tenant profile, such as age, family and income.

	S	ubject Deve	lopment		HUD Area FMR			Highest Unadjusted Comp Rent		
# Units	# Bedrooms	Baths	Size (SF)	Proposed Tenant Rent	Per Unit	Per SF	Advantage	Per Unit	Per SF	
3	1 BR	1.0	756	\$609	\$1,187	\$1.61	48.7%	\$1,734	\$2.60	
3	1 BR	1.0	756	\$758	\$1,187	\$1.61	36.1%	\$1,734	\$2.60	
8	2 BR	2.0	968	\$719	\$1,361	\$1.27	47.2%	\$2,045	\$1.79	
8	2 BR	2.0	968	\$898	\$1,361	\$1.27	34.0%	\$2,045	\$1.79	
12	3 BR	2.0	1,218	\$810	\$1,724	\$1.30	53.0%	\$2,145	\$1.61	
12	3 BR	2.0	1,218	\$1,017	\$1,724	\$1.30	41.0%	\$2,145	\$1.61	
G	ross Potentia	l Rent Mor	nthly*	\$38,961	\$70,274		44.56%			

*Market Advantage is calculated using the following formula: Gross HUD FMR (minus) Net Proposed Tenant Rent (divided by) Gross HUD FMR. The calculation should be expressed as a percentage and rounded to two decimal points.

DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (found on page 35)									
	2(010		2020	2()26			
Renter Households	11,689	47.3%	13,224	40.5%	15,040	40.7%			
Income-Qualified Renter HHs (LIHTC)	3,897	33.3%	4,408	33.3%	5,014	33.3%			
Income-Qualified Renter HHs (MR)				:	~*				

TARGETED INCOM	E-QUALIFIEI) RENTER H	OUSEHOLD I	DEMAND (foun	d on page 42)	
Type of Demand	50%	60%	Market Rate	Other:	Other:	Overall
Renter Household Growth	. 79	84				111
Existing Households (Overburd + Substand)	1,782	1,892				2,484
Homeowner Conversion (Seniors)						
Other:						
Less Comparable/Competitive Supply	30	35		**		65
Net Income-Qualified Renter HHs	1,831	1,941		-	-	2,530
	CAPTUR	RE RATES (for	und on page 44)		
Targeted Population	50%	60%	Market Rate	Other:	Other:	Overall
Capture Rate	1.3%	1.2%	M ++			1.8%
	ABSORPT	ION RATE (f	ound on page 4	7)		
Absorption Period: 3 to 4	months					

		2024 S-2 R	ENT CALC	ULATION V	WORKSHI	ET	
	# Units	Bedroom Type	Proposed Tenant Paid Rent	Net Potential Tenant Rent	Gross HUD FMR	Gross HUD FMR Total	Tax Credit Gross Rent Advantage
50%	3	1 BR	\$609	\$1,827	\$1,187	\$3,561	
60%	3	1 BR	\$758	\$2,274	\$1,187	\$3,561	
50%	8	2 BR	\$719	\$5,752	\$1,361	\$10,888	
60%	8	2 BR	\$898	\$7,184	\$1,361	\$10,888	
50%	12	3 BR	\$810	\$9,720	\$1,724	\$20,688	
60%	12	3 BR	\$1,017	\$12,204	\$1,724	\$20,688	
	Totals	46		\$38,961		\$70,274	44.56%

I affirm that I have made a physical inspection of the market and surrounding area and that information obtained in the field has been used to determine the need and demand for LIHTC units. I understand that any misrepresentation of this statement may result in the denial of further participation in the South Carolina State Housing Finance & Development Authority's programs. I also affirm that I have no financial interest in the project or current business relationship with the ownership entity and my compensation is not contingent on this project being funded. This report was written according to the SCSHFDA's market study requirements. The information included is accurate and can be relied upon by SCSHFDA to present a true assessment of the low-income housing rental market.

Steven R. Shaw SHAW RESEARCH AND CONSULTING, LLC

Date: June 4, 2024

J. SIGNED STATEMENT REQUIREMENTS

I affirm that I have made a physical inspection of the market and surrounding area and that information obtained in the field has been used to determine the need and demand for LIHTC units. I understand that any misrepresentation of this statement may result in the denial of further participation in the South Carolina State Housing Finance & Development Authority's programs. I also affirm that I have no financial interest in the project or current business relationship with the ownership entity and my compensation is not contingent on this project being funded. This report was written according to the SCSHFDA's market study requirements. The information included is accurate and can be relied upon by SCSHFDA to present a true assessment of the low-income housing rental market.

Steven R. Shaw SHAW RESEARCH AND CONSULTING, LLC

Date: June 4, 2024

Shaw Research & Consulting, LLC



A RENTAL HOUSING MARKET FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS FOR

MYRTLE BEACH, SOUTH CAROLINA

(Horry County)

Bay Pointe IV Apartments

Intersection of Grissom Parkway and Monticello Drive/Nance Street Myrtle Beach, South Carolina 29577

June 4, 2024

Prepared for:

Mr. Brad Queener Bradley BP IV, LLC 709 N. Main Street, Suite 200 Aynor, SC 29511 Prepared by:

Steven Shaw **Shaw Research & Consulting, LLC** P.O. Box 38 Bad Axe, MI 48413 steveshaw@shaw-research.com

Copyright © 2024 - Shaw Research & Consulting, LLC

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION	1
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	2
A. PROJECT DESCRIPTION	6
 B. SITE DESCRIPTION. 1. SITE VISIT DATE. 2. SITE NEIGHBORHOOD AND OVERVIEW 3. NEARBY RETAIL 4. MEDICAL OFFICES AND HOSPITALS. 5. OTHER PMA SERVICES 6. CRIME ASSESSMENT 7. ROAD/INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS. 8. OVERALL SITE CONCLUSIONS. 	9 13 14 14 23 24
C. PRIMARY MARKET AREA DELINEATION	25
D. MARKET AREA ECONOMY 1. Employment, Wages, Commuting	
E. COMMUNITY DEMOGRAPHIC DATA 1. Population, Household, and Income Trends	
F. DEMAND ANALYSIS 1. Demand for Tax Credit Rental Units 2. Capture and Absorption Rates	42
 G. SUPPLY/COMPARABLE RENTAL ANALYSIS	48 50 50 50
H. INTERVIEWS	71
I. CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS	72
J. SIGNED STATEMENT REQUIREMENTS	73
K. SOURCES	74
L. RESUME	75

CERTIFICATE OF ACCURACY AND RELIABILITY

I hereby attest that this market study has been completed by an independent third-party market consultant with no fees received contingent upon the funding of this proposal. Furthermore, information contained within the following report obtained through other sources is considered to be trustworthy and reliable. As such, Shaw Research and Consulting does not guarantee the data nor assume any liability for any errors in fact, analysis, or judgment resulting from the use of this data.

L. D.a.

Steven R. Shaw SHAW RESEARCH & CONSULTING, LLC

Date: June 4, 2024

INTRODUCTION

Shaw Research and Consulting, LLC has prepared the following rental housing study to examine and analyze the city of Myrtle Beach as it pertains to the market feasibility for the new construction of Bay Pointe IV Apartments, a proposed 46-unit affordable rental development targeting low-income family households. The subject proposal represents the fourth phase to the Bay Pointe rental development, consisting of a total of 176 tax credit units constructed between 2009 and 2023. The proposed development is to be located within the central portion of the city along the east side of Robert M. Grissom Parkway at the intersection of Monticello Drive, approximately ¹/₄ mile northeast of Mr. Joe White Avenue (aka 10th Avenue), and roughly one mile north of the Myrtle Beach Boardwalk and Promenade, as well as the Atlantic Ocean waterfront, beaches, and entertainment district. Further, the subject property is situated adjacent to the northeast of Bay Pointe III within a largely residential area of the city, and within a short distance of a wide variety of retail, medical, employment, and recreational locales.

The purpose of this report is to analyze the market feasibility of the subject proposal based on the project specifications and site location presented in the following section. Findings and conclusions will be based through an analytic evaluation of demographic trends, recent economic patterns, existing rental housing conditions, detailed fieldwork and site visit, and a demand forecast for family-oriented rental housing throughout the Myrtle Beach market area. All fieldwork and community data collection were conducted on May 5, 2024 by Steven Shaw. A phone survey of existing rental developments identified within the PMA, as well as site visits to those properties deemed most comparable to the subject, was also reviewed to further measure the potential market depth for the subject proposal.

This study assumes Low Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTC) will be utilized in the development of the subject rental facility, along with the associated rent and income restriction guidelines as required from the South Carolina State Housing Finance and Development Authority (SCSHFDA). As a result, Bay Pointe IV Apartments is proposed to feature a total of 46 general-occupancy units restricted to households with incomes at 50 percent and 60 percent of the area median income (AMI). Furthermore, there are no unrestricted (market rate) or project-based rental assistance (PBRA) units proposed within the subject development.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Based on the information collected and presented within this report, sufficient evidence has been introduced for the successful new construction and absorption of Bay Pointe IV Apartments, as outlined in the following project description. As such, the following summary highlights the key findings and conclusions from this report information:

- 1) The subject proposal is a 46-unit general-occupancy rental development targeting lowincome households. The facility will consist of a mix of one, two, and three-bedroom units restricted to households with incomes at 50 percent and 60 percent of AMI.
- 2) Demand estimates for the proposed development show sufficient statistical support for the introduction and absorption of additional affordable rental units within the Myrtle Beach PMA. Capture rates are presented in Exhibit S-2 (following the executive summary), and are clearly reflective of strong market depth and the continued need for affordable rental housing locally.
- 3) Based on U.S. Census figures and ESRI forecasts, the Myrtle Beach area has experienced extremely positive demographic patterns since 2010. As such, the PMA increased by 40 percent (nearly 22,900 persons) between 2010 and 2024, and is projected to increase by an additional six percent over the next five years (roughly 4,900 persons). This extraordinary growth will undoubtedly result in strong demand for housing of all types throughout the Myrtle Beach PMA.
- 4) The subject property's proximity to a number of commercial centers and community amenities/features should be considered a positive attribute. The site is approximately ¹/₂ mile southwest of the Broadway at the Beach entertainment complex, 1³/₄ miles east of the Coastal Grand Mall, one mile south of the Seaboard Commons retail center, and one mile north of the Myrtle Beach Boardwalk and Promenade, Atlantic Ocean waterfront, beaches, and coastal entertainment district. As such, the subject property has convenient access to most retail, medical, educational, employment, entertainment, and other services necessary for multi-family housing.
- 5) Overall conditions for the Myrtle Beach rental market are mostly positive at the present time, although several market rate properties reported some recent occupancy concerns. Based on a survey of 22 rental developments within Myrtle Beach, an overall occupancy rate was of 94.6 percent was calculated with 13 developments at 97 percent occupancy or better. When broken down by financing, market rate projects had a combined occupancy rate of 93.5 percent, tax credit developments averaged 98.4 percent, and subsidized facilities were 97.2 percent occupied.
- 6) The affordable rental market within the Myrtle Beach area is extremely strong. Considering the six tax credit developments included within the survey (one LIHTC facility would not answer/return calls during our survey – Carolina Oaks Village), a combined occupancy rate of 98.4 percent was reported. In addition, each tax credit project reported a waiting list, most of which were quite extensive.

- 7) Two tax credit properties were recently constructed within Myrtle Beach, both of which were rapidly absorbed:
 - The most recent tax credit property within the defined PMA is Bay Pointe III, a 70unit general-occupancy development which entered the market in December 2023 – consisting of two and three-bedroom units targeted to households at 30, 50, and 60 percent of AMI. According to the property manager, the facility is 100 percent occupied and was absorbed in less than one month of opening. Furthermore, there is already more than 100 names on the waiting list, providing perhaps the most direct evidence of the strong demand for affordable housing locally.
 - Another new LIHTC development within the greater Myrtle Beach area is Waterford Pointe, a 72-unit general-occupancy project which also opened in 2023. Located just outside of the PMA, the property reported an occupancy rate of 96 percent with more than 100 names on the waiting list. The manager noted that units were leased as they entered the market, and was fully occupied in less than one month of when the certificate of occupancy was issued.
- 8) Overall, the proposed rents are competitive to other local LIHTC properties within the PMA, and are extremely affordable relative to market rate averages. When adjusting rents to normalize for differences in the utility structure (the subject will include only trash removal, while utilities vary in other projects), the proposed rents are nearly identical to Bay Pointe II. Further considering the rapid lease-up and long waiting list (100+ names) at Bay Pointe III, the proposed rents are clearly achievable and appropriate for the local rental market.
- 9) In addition, the proposed rents are well-below market rate rents, averaging approximately 43 percent lower than the effective market rate average calculated for each unit size further demonstrating the relative affordability of the subject.
- 10) In conclusion, the proposal represents a modern product with numerous amenities and features at a relatively affordable rent level. As such, the proposed targeting and rental rates are properly positioned and are competitive in relation to other local LIHTC properties and further represent a clear value relative to overall market averages. Therefore, the proposed targeting and rental structure can be considered a positive factor, and appropriate for the Myrtle Beach PMA.
- 11) Based on the subject's proposed unit mix, targeting and rent structure, competitive unit sizes, and generous amenities/features, the introduction of Bay Pointe IV Apartments should prove successful. Based on extremely strong demographic patterns, positive occupancy levels within each tax credit property, and the rapid lease-up and long waiting lists at the area's most recent LIHTC facilities, additional affordable units will likely be readily absorbed. As such, evidence presented within the market study suggests a normal to rapid absorption period (estimated between three to four months) should be anticipated based on project characteristics as proposed. Furthermore, the development of the subject proposal will not have any adverse effect on any other existing rental property or those under development either affordable or market rate.

Stabilized Comps**

98.4%

--

20	24 EXHIBIT S-2	SCSHFDA PRIN	ARY MARKET	AREA ANAL	YSIS SUMMARY:					
Development Name:	BAY POINTE I	V APARTMENT	ſS		Total # Units: 40					
Location:	Grissom Parkway /	rissom Parkway / Monticello Drive, Myrtle Beach, South Carolina SC # LIHTC Units: 46								
PMA Boundary:	North - 3.0 miles;	orth - 3.0 miles; Southeast - 1.0 mile; Northeast - 7.0 miles; Southwest - 6.0 miles								
Development Type:	Development Type: XX Family Older Persons Farthest Boundary Distance to Subject: 7 Miles									
RENTAL HOUSING STOCK (found on page 51) Type # Properties Total Units Vacant Units Average Occupancy										
All Rental Housing (e	excl. rehab projects)	22	3,057	166	94.69	6				
Market-Rate Housing Assisted/Subsidized F		14	2,359	153	93.59	6				
include LIHTC	č	2	142							
LIHTC (All that are	stabilized)*	6	556	9	98.4%	/o				

556

0

9

--

Non-stabilized Comps 0

*Stabilized occupancy of at least 93% (Excludes projects still in initial lease up).

**Comparables - comparable to the subject and those that compete at nearly the same rent levels and tenant profile, such as age, family and income.

6

	Su	ıbject Deve	elopment		HUD Area FMR			Highest Unadjusted Comp Rent		
# Units	# Bedrooms	Baths	Size (SF)	Proposed Tenant Rent	Per Unit	Per SF	Advantage	Per Unit	Per SF	
3	1 BR	1.0	756	\$609	\$1,187	\$1.61	48.7%	\$1,734	\$2.60	
3	1 BR	1.0	756	\$758	\$1,187	\$1.61	36.1%	\$1,734	\$2.60	
8	2 BR	2.0	968	\$719	\$1,361	\$1.27	47.2%	\$2,045	\$1.79	
8	2 BR	2.0	968	\$898	\$1,361	\$1.27	34.0%	\$2,045	\$1.79	
12	3 BR	2.0	1,218	\$810	\$1,724	\$1.30	53.0%	\$2,145	\$1.61	
12	3 BR	2.0	1,218	\$1,017	\$1,724	\$1.30	41.0%	\$2,145	\$1.61	
G	ross Potentia	l Rent Mo	nthlv*	\$38,961	\$70,274		44.56%			

*Market Advantage is calculated using the following formula: Gross HUD FMR (minus) Net Proposed Tenant Rent (divided by) Gross HUD FMR. The calculation should be expressed as a percentage and rounded to two decimal points.

DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (found on page 35)									
	20	10	20	020	2026				
Renter Households	11,689	47.3%	13,224	40.5%	15,040	40.7%			
Income-Qualified Renter HHs (LIHTC)	3,897	33.3%	4,408	33.3%	5,014	33.3%			
Income-Qualified Renter HHs (MR)									

Type of Demand	50%	60%	Market Rate	Other:	Other:	Overall
Renter Household Growth	79	84				111
Existing Households (Overburd + Substand)	1,782	1,892				2,484
Homeowner Conversion (Seniors)						
Other:						
Less Comparable/Competitive Supply	30	35				65
Net Income-Qualified Renter HHs	1,831	1,941				2,530
	CAPTU	RE RATES (fo	ound on page 44			
Targeted Population	50%	60%	Market Rate	Other:	Other:	Overall
Capture Rate	1.3%	1.2%				1.8%
	ABSORP	TION RATE (found on page 4	7)		
Absorption Period: 3 to 4	months					

		2024 S-2 R	ENT CALC	CULATION	WORKSHE	ET	
	# Units	Bedroom Type	Proposed Tenant Paid Rent	Net Potential Tenant Rent	Gross HUD FMR	Gross HUD FMR Total	Tax Credit Gross Rent Advantage
50%	3	1 BR	\$609	\$1,827	\$1,187	\$3 <i>,</i> 561	
60%	3	1 BR	\$758	\$2,274	\$1,187	\$3,561	
50%	8	2 BR	\$719	\$5,752	\$1,361	\$10,888	
60%	8	2 BR	\$898	\$7,184	\$1,361	\$10,888	
50%	12	3 BR	\$810	\$9,720	\$1,724	\$20,688	
60%	12	3 BR	\$1,017	\$12,204	\$1,724	\$20,688	
	Totals	46		\$38,961		\$70,274	44.56%

I affirm that I have made a physical inspection of the market and surrounding area and that information obtained in the field has been used to determine the need and demand for LIHTC units. I understand that any misrepresentation of this statement may result in the denial of further participation in the South Carolina State Housing Finance & Development Authority's programs. I also affirm that I have no financial interest in the project or current business relationship with the ownership entity and my compensation is not contingent on this project being funded. This report was written according to the SCSHFDA's market study requirements. The information included is accurate and can be relied upon by SCSHFDA to present a true assessment of the low-income housing rental market.

L. D.d.

Steven R. Shaw SHAW RESEARCH AND CONSULTING, LLC

Date: June 4, 2024

A. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

According to project information supplied by the sponsor of the subject proposal, the analysis presented within this report is based on the following development configuration and assumptions:

Project Address: Gris Project City: Myr	POINT som Parl tle Beach ry Count	kway / N n, South	Aontice	llo Driv	ve				
Total Units:46Occupancy Type:FamConstruction Type:New	ily Constru	ction							
Targeting/Mix	Number of Units	Unit Type	Number of Baths	Square Feet	Contract Rent	Utility Allow.	Gross Rent	Max. LIHTC Rent*	PBRA
One-Bedroom Units	6								
50% of Area Median Income	3	Apt	1.0	756	\$609	\$136	\$745	\$745	No
60% of Area Median Income	3	Apt	1.0	756	\$758	\$136	\$894	\$894	No
Two-Bedroom Units	16								
50% of Area Median Income	8	Apt	2.0	968	\$719	\$176	\$895	\$895	No
60% of Area Median Income	8	Apt	2.0	968	\$898	\$176	\$1,074	\$1,074	No
Three-Bedroom Units	24								
50% of Area Median Income	12	Apt	2.0	1,218	\$810	\$223	\$1,033	\$1,033	No
60% of Area Median Income	12	Apt	2.0	1,218	\$1,017	\$223	\$1,240	\$1,240	No

*Maximum LIHTC Rents and Income Limits are based on the 2024 Rent & Income Limit Calculator obtained from Novogradac & Company website (www.novoco.com).

Project Description:

Development Location	Myrtle Beach, South Carolina
Construction Type	New Construction
Occupancy Type	General Occupancy (family)
Target Income Group	100% LIHTC (at 50% and 60% AMI)
Special Population Group	N/A
Number of Units by Unit Type	See previous page
Unit Sizes	See previous page
Rents and Utility Information	See previous page
Proposed Rental Assistance (PBRA)	None

Project Size:

Total Development Size	.46 units
Number of Affordable Units	.46 units
Number of HOME Units	.0 units
Number of Market Rate Units	.0 units
Number of PBRA Units	.0 units
Number of Employee Units	.0 units

Development Characteristics:

Number of Total Units	46 units
Number of Garden Apartments	46 units
Number of Townhouses	0 units
Number of Residential Buildings	2 (maximum three stories)
Number of Community Buildings	0
Community Area (in residential building)	Approximately 2,288 sq. ft.

Additional Assumptions:

Heat Source: Electric heat pump Market Entry: Scheduled for January 2026

	PROJECT AMENITIES	
	UNIT AMENITIES	
 X Ceiling Fan X Coat Closet X Dishwasher Exterior Storage X Frost-Free Refrigerator 	 X Garbage Disposal X Individual Entry X Microwave X Mini-Blinds X Patio/Balcony 	 X Self-Cleaning Oven X Walk-In Closet X Stainless Steel Appliances Other:
	DEVELOPMENT AMENITIES	
Clubhouse X Community Room X Computer/Business Center Elevator Other:	 X Exercise Room X On-Site Management Picnic Area X Playground Other: 	Sports Court Swimming Pool X Other: Gazebo Other: Other:
	AIR CONDITIONING TYPE	
X Central A/C	Through-Wall A/C	Through-Wall Sleeve
	LAUNDRY TYPE	
X Coin-Operated Laundry	X In-Unit Hook-Up	In-Unit Washer/Dryer
	PARKING TYPE	
X Surface Lot (on-site) Surface Lot (off-site)	Garage (attached): \$ Garage (detached): \$	Carport: \$ Other:
	SECURITY TYPE	
Security Intercom X Security Cameras	Security Gate Other:	X Lighting Other:
	UTILITIES INCLUDED IN RENT	
Electricity Gas	Heat Water/Sewer	X Trash Removal Other:

B. SITE DESCRIPTION

1. Site Visit Date

Site and community fieldwork was completed on May 5, 2024 by Steven Shaw.

2. Site Neighborhood and Overview

The subject property is located within the central portion of Myrtle Beach at the northeast end of Nance Street, as well as along the southeast side of Robert M. Grissom Parkway at the intersection of Monticello Drive. Further, the site is situated approximately ¹/₄ mile northeast of Mr. Joe White Avenue (aka 10th Avenue), and one mile north of the Myrtle Beach Boardwalk and Promenade, as well as the Atlantic Ocean waterfront, beaches, and coastal entertainment district. Overall characteristics of the immediate neighborhood are combination of residential and retail/commercial usages, along with scattered undeveloped wooded property. Bay Pointe III is adjacent to the southwest of the of the subject property, a single-family residential neighborhood can be found directly to the southeast, and undeveloped wooded property is adjacent to the northeast and northwest. In addition, a mixture of commercial and residential properties (multi-family and single-family) can be found along Mr. Joe White Avenue, which provides convenient access to more densely populated retail areas to the northwest, as well as beach areas to the southeast. Furthermore, most nearby structures (residential, commercial, or otherwise) throughout the immediate neighborhood are in generally good condition, with no blighted or substandard structures observed.

The subject property consists of approximately 3.82 acres of undeveloped and mostly wooded property. Situated within census tract 506 of Horry County, the property is currently zoned as RMH (Muli-family Residential District – High Density), which allows for the development of multi-family units. Based on an overall review of the site, current usages and zoning of surrounding properties (as well as throughout the immediate neighborhood) should not impede or negatively affect the marketability or long-term viability of the subject proposal. As such, adjacent land usage is as follows:

Northeast: Drainage ditch / Undeveloped, wooded property
Northwest: Robert M. Grissom Parkway / Undeveloped, wooded property
Southeast: Single-family homes (in good condition)
Southwest: Multi-family (Bay Pointe III – in good condition)




Map 1: Site Location – City of Myrtle Beach

NOTE: Shaded area is city of Myrtle Beach



Map 2: Site Location - Aerial Photo



Map 3: Site Plan – Bay Pointe IV Apartments

The subject property will have two access points: from Nance Street to the southwest (which is also the entrance to Bay Pointe II and III), representing a lightly-traveled two-lane secondary street providing access to Mr. Joe White Avenue to the west; and from Robert M. Grissom Parkway to the northwest, representing a four-lane divided roadway providing access to Coastal Grand Mall to the west and the Broadway at the Beach entertainment complex to the northeast. Further, Mr. Joe White Avenue is approximately ¹/₄ mile to the southwest, providing a direct route to coastal beach areas (roughly one mile to the southeast) and nearby retail concentrations (approximately ³/₄ mile north along Seaboard Street). As such, the subject's location will provide favorable visibility from a well-traveled roadway, along with a generally positive curb appeal with no significant visible traffic congestion and most nearby properties (commercial, residential, or otherwise) in good condition. Furthermore, the site's proximity and relatively convenient access to much of the area's retail, medical, recreational, entertainment, and employment locales should be viewed as a positive factor, and suitable for multi-family housing.

<u>3. Nearby Retail</u>

Although there are only limited retail options within walking distance of the site, a wide variety of retail opportunities can be found throughout the immediate area. In addition to Quickway Food Market and Express Convenience both located approximately ½ mile away, the nearest significant retail/commercial concentration can be found approximately one mile north of the subject property near the intersection of Mr. Joe White Avenue and U.S. 17 – offering a Sam's Club, Target, Dollar Tree, Lowes Home Improvement Warehouse, and numerous other opportunities. Perhaps one of the largest retail areas in Myrtle Beach is the Coastal Grand Mall (situated roughly 1¾ miles to the west), along with various other retail outlets just east of the mall – such as Walmart, Best Buy, Costco, and Home Depot among others. Several grocery stores and pharmacies can be found within 2¼ miles of the site – including Walmart Supercenter, Walmart Neighborhood Market, Target Grocery, Piggly Wiggly, CVS Pharmacy, and Walgreens. Furthermore, a number of other retail centers are situated throughout the immediate area as well, with additional concentrations found along Kings Highway and U.S. 501.

4. Medical Offices and Hospitals

Numerous medical services and physician offices can be found throughout Myrtle Beach and the immediate area as well. As such, the nearest hospital is the Grand Strand Regional Medical Center (approximately 5³/₄ miles northeast of the site), while the McLeod Health Carolina Forest campus is situated roughly 5¹/₄ miles to the northeast – representing a newly developed seven-building campus offering a variety of physician practices, outpatient services, general surgery, and a free-standing emergency department. In addition to medical offices found near each medical center, additional physician and specialty offices can be found scattered throughout the area – including Doctor's Care-Strand, OVP Health Care, and 501 Family Medicine Center (each less than one mile from the site), and CareNow Urgent Care (1³/₄ miles away along Kings Highway).

5. Other PMA Services

Additional services of note within the immediate area include the Chapin Memorial Library, YMCA of Coastal Carolina, and several parks and recreation facilities. As such, several city recreation centers are situated within two miles of the subject, including the Boys and Girls Club of the Grand Strand (³/₄ mile away), Mary C. Canty Recreation Center (¹/₂ mile), and Pepper Geddings Recreation Center (two miles) – each offering a variety of activities and services for residents of all ages. Also of note is the Myrtle Beach Boardwalk, beaches, and coastal entertainment district (roughly one mile from the site), as well as the Broadway at the Beach entertainment complex (¹/₂ mile northeast) – each offering numerous specialty shops, dining, and attractions for residents and visitors alike.

Fixed-route bus/transit services are offered locally through the Coast Regional Transportation Authority (Coast RTA), consisting of regularly scheduled routes servicing Horry and Georgetown Counties seven days a week. As such, the subject property is situated on a specified bus route (Route 17: Myrtle Beach/North Myrtle Beach), with several bus stops within walking distance – including the Coast RTA Myrtle Beach Transfer Center (approximately ³/₄ mile away near the intersection of Mr. Joe White Avenue and Oak Street).


The following identifies pertinent locations and features within the Myrtle Beach area closest to the subject property, and can be found on the following map by the number next to the corresponding description. Please note that this list is not all-inclusive and only represents those locations closest and most relevant to the subject property. Further, all distances are estimated by paved roadway from proposed entrance along Robert M Grissom Parkway.

Retail


1. Grocery – Walmart Supercenter (w/ pharmacy)	1.5 miles west
2. Grocery – Walmart Neighborhood Market (w/ pharmacy)	
3. Grocery – Piggly Wiggly grocery	
4. Grocery – Target grocery (w/ CVS Pharmacy)	
5. Grocery – Food Lion	
6. Pharmacy – Walgreens	
7. Pharmacy – CVS Pharmacy	
8. Convenience – Express Convenience	
9. Convenience – Quickway Food Market	
10. Convenience – Dollar Tree	
11. Convenience – Family Dollar	1.1 miles south
12. Convenience – Dollar General	
13. Other – Coastal Grand Mall	
N - 3° 1	
Medical	5.0 11 11
14. Hospital – Grand Strand Medical Center	
15. Clinic – Doctor's Care - Strand	
16. Clinic – OVP Health Care	
17. Clinic – 501 Family Medicine Center	
18. Urgent Care – CareNow Urgent Care	1.8 miles east
Education	
19. School – Myrtle Beach Early Childhood School	
20. School – Myrtle Beach Primary School	
21. School – Myrtle Beach Elementary School	
22. School – Myrtle Beach Middle School	1.7 miles east
23. School – Myrtle Beach High School	
24. College – Horry-Georgetown Technical College (not on map)	
Recreation/Other	
25. Library – Chapin Memorial Library	1.3 miles southeast
25. Elorary – Chapin Wenorial Elorary	
27. Recreation Center – Mary C Canty Recreation Center	
 27. Recreation Center – Mary C Carty Recreation Center	
28. Recreation Center – Pepper Geddings Recreation Center	
30. Park – Futrell Park	



Map 4: Local Features/Amenities



Map 5: Local Features/Amenities – Close View



Map 6: Affordable Rental Housing – Myrtle Beach PMA

Site/Neighborhood Photos



SITE – Bay Pointe IV Apartments Grissom Parkway/Nance Street, Myrtle Beach, SC Facing northeast from end of Nance Street Photo taken from edge of Bay Pointe III Apartments



SITE – Bay Pointe IV Apartments Grissom Parkway/Nance Street, Myrtle Beach, SC Facing northeast from interior of site



SITE – Bay Pointe IV Apartments Grissom Parkway/Nance Street, Myrtle Beach, SC Facing northwest from interior of site

SITE – Bay Pointe IV Apartments Grissom Parkway/Nance Street, Myrtle Beach, SC Facing east from Grissom Parkway



NORTHEAST – Undeveloped, wooded property directly to northeast of site Facing east from Grissom Parkway Drainage ditch and site is to the right of wooded lot

NORTHEAST – Drainage ditch adjacent to site Facing east from Grissom Parkway Site is to the left of ditch



SOUTHWEST – Bay Pointe III adjacent to site Facing southwest from end of Nance Street at site Nance Street is on the right

SOUTHWEST – Undeveloped, wooded property adjacent to site Facing southeast from interior of site Nance Street is to the left Grissom Parkway is beyond trees



NORTHWEST – Undeveloped wooded property directly to northwest of site. Facing west from Grissom Parkway Site is across Grissom Parkway from lot

NORTHWEST – Undeveloped wooded property directly to northwest of site. Facing west from Grissom Parkway Site is across Grissom Parkway from lot



SOUTHEAST – Single-family home adjacent to site Facing northwest from Washington Street Site is wooded property behind home

SOUTHEAST – Single-family home adjacent to site Facing northwest from Washington Street Site is wooded property behind home



STREET – Facing southwest along Nance Street Photo taken from Nance Street entrance to site



STREET – Facing southeast along Monticello Drive Site is wooded property in distance (Site plans indicate Nance Street will be extended to connect to Grissom Parkway and Monticello Drive)




STREET – Facing southwest along Grissom Parkway Photo taken from intersection of Monticello Street Site is wooded property to the left

STREET – Facing northeast along Grissom Parkway Photo taken from intersection of Monticello Street Site is wooded property to the right

6. Crime Assessment

Overall, crime rates for Myrtle Beach and the PMA are somewhat above state and national averages. As such, the following table illustrates index scores for individual crime categories based on a scale normalized to 100 for the national average. The elevated crime statistics can largely be attributed to the area being a popular tourist destination with a relatively high degree of seasonal and/or transient workers during the summer tourism season. In addition, a relatively large number of young adults (visiting for spring break and the like) is also a contributing factor to the skewed numbers when compared to regional and state averages. Despite the elevated crime values, the site and surrounding neighborhood does not appear to have any noticeable safety concerns based on firsthand observations from a recent site visit, and should not be considered a prohibitive factor. However, these crime scores are certainly concerning and need to be taken into consideration – as such, extra security precautions should be deemed as a necessary measure to provide a safe environment for potential residents of the subject property (such as extra lighting, surveillance cameras, and/or secured intercom entry).





7. Road/Infrastructure Improvements

Based on the site visit and evaluation of the local market area, no significant road work and/or infrastructure improvements were observed near the site that would have any impact (positive or negative) on the marketability and/or absorption of the subject proposal.

8. Overall Site Conclusions

Overall, the majority of necessary services are situated within a relatively short distance of the site, with a grocery, pharmacy, schools, medical offices, library, parks, and other various services all located within the immediate area (many of which are less than 1½ miles away). Furthermore, the subject property is located along Robert M. Grissom Parkway and within ¼ mile of Mr. Joe White Avenue, offering relatively convenient access to other prominent thoroughfares and retail/commercial centers located throughout the area. Based on a site visit conducted May 5, 2024, overall site characteristics can be viewed as mostly positive, with no significant visible nuances that can have a potentially negative effect on the marketability or absorption of the subject proposal. The subject property's location provides a generally positive curb appeal, with no visible traffic congestion and most nearby properties (residential, commercial, or otherwise) in good condition.

C. PRIMARY MARKET AREA DELINEATION

The Primary Market Area (PMA) is defined as the geographic area from which the subject property (either proposed or existing) is expected to draw the *majority* of its residents. For the purpose of this report, the Myrtle Beach PMA consists of the city of Myrtle Beach and immediate surrounding area within the coastal region of Horry County. More specifically, the PMA is comprised of a total of 18 census tracts, and reaches approximately three miles to the north of the site, one mile to the south, seven miles to the northeast, and roughly $6\frac{1}{2}$ miles to the southwest. As such, the aforementioned primary market area delineation can be considered as a realistic indication of the potential draw of the subject proposal based on characteristics of the immediate area. In addition to a location within a predominantly residential area adjacent to three existing successful phases, the site is situated along Robert M. Grissom Parkway (and within ¹/₄ mile of Mr. Joe White Avenue) – providing convenient access to a variety of retail, medical, schools, employment, and recreational locales. In addition, the site is situated approximately one mile from the Myrtle Beach Boardwalk and Promenade, as well as the Atlantic Ocean waterfront, beaches, and entertainment district.

Factors such as socio-economic conditions and patterns, local roadway infrastructure, commuting patterns, physical boundaries, and personal experience were utilized when defining the primary market area. As such, the PMA is comprised of the following census tracts (utilizing 2020 census delineations - all are within Horry County):

- Tract 501.02
- Tract 504.02 • Tract 505.00
- Tract 502.00
 - Tract 506.00*
- Tract 510.00

• Tract 509.01

• Tract 509.02

- Tract 515.01
- Tract 515.02 • Tract 515.03
- Tract 602.10
- Tract 602.11 • Tract 9801


- Tract 602.04

- Tract 503.03
- Tract 504.01 • Tract 507.00

* Site is located in Census Tract 506.00*



Map 7: State of South Carolina



Map 8: Myrtle Beach Primary Market Area

NOTE: Shaded area is PMA; Blue outline is city of Myrtle Beach



Map 9: Primary Market Area – Census Tracts

	<u>Number</u>	Percent
Total Races Tallied	5,839	
White*	2,039	34.9%
Black or African American*	2,325	39.8%
American Indian/Alaska Native*	118	2.0%
Asian*	499	8.5%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander*	11	0.2%
Other Race*	847	14.5%

Table 2: Race Distribution (2020)

D. MARKET AREA ECONOMY

1. Employment, Wages, Commuting

The following highlights current economic conditions for Horry County, Myrtle Beach, and the market area, where available:

- Employment by Industry According to information from the South Carolina Department of Employment and Workforce, the largest individual employment industry within Horry County was accommodation/food services (at approximately 24 percent of all jobs), followed by persons employed in retail trade (18 percent), and health care/ social assistance (12 percent).
- Employment by Industry Five Year Change Based on a comparison of employment by industry from 2018, the majority of individual industries experienced a net gain over the past five years. Health care/social assistance had the largest growth (3,836 new jobs), followed by retail trade (2,286 new jobs), and construction (1,213 new jobs). In contrast, the largest declines occurred in accommodation/food services (367 fewer jobs) and real estate (220 fewer jobs).
- **Commuting Characteristics** Based on place of employment, 94 percent of PMA residents are employed within Horry County, while just six percent commute outside of the county. Further, ACS data indicates that approximately 78 percent of workers within the PMA drove alone to their place of employment, eight percent carpooled, nine percent worked from home, and four percent used public transportation, walked, or some other means to work.
- **Recent Employment Trends** Figures for 2020 clearly illustrates the negative impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, with a decrease of more than 7,200 jobs within Horry County representing a decline of 5.2 percent between 2019 and 2020 (which was notably greater than losses experienced for the state overall at 2.9 percent). However, the most recent information demonstrates that the economy has rebounded quite soundly with employment now above pre-pandemic levels. As such, the number of jobs within the county increased by 14 percent (approximately 19,100 jobs) between 2020 and 2023. The most recent monthly information demonstrates the local economy has continued to improve over the past year, as the number of jobs within Horry County increased by approximately 7,317 jobs between February 2023 and February 2024, representing an increase of 5.1 percent notably above growth rates for state and national gains (at 2.6 percent and 0.4 percent, respectively).
- **Recent Unemployment Levels** In conjunction with increasing job levels, unemployment rates have also demonstrated notable improvement over the past several years. As such, the annual unemployment rate for 2023 was recorded at 3.6 percent for Horry County, which was an improvement from 8.4 percent in 2020. While improving, however, the current unemployment rate is slightly above state averages (3.1 percent) and similar to national figures (also at 3.6 percent) for 2023. Based on February 2024 data, the county had an unemployment rate of 4.5 percent, representing a slight decrease from February 2023 (4.8 percent).

	3Q 2	2023	3Q 2	2018	Change (2	018-2023)
Industry	Number <u>Employed</u>	Percent	Number Employed	Percent	Number <u>Employed</u>	Percent
Total, All Industries	146,814	100.0%	135,668	100.0%	11,146	8%
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting	211	0.1%	215	0.2%	(4)	(2%)
Mining	69	0.0%	71	0.1%	(2)	(3%)
Utilities	629	0.4%	583	0.4%	46	8%
Construction	8,791	6.0%	7,578	5.6%	1,213	16%
Manufacturing	3,534	2.4%	3,399	2.5%	135	4%
Wholesale trade	2,730	1.9%	2,479	1.8%	251	10%
Retail trade	26,720	18.2%	24,434	18.0%	2,286	9%
Transportation and warehousing	3,141	2.1%	2,420	1.8%	721	30%
Information	1,813	1.2%	1,852	1.4%	(39)	(2%)
Finance and insurance	3,203	2.2%	2,891	2.1%	312	11%
Real estate and rental and leasing	4,787	3.3%	5,007	3.7%	(220)	(4%)
Professional and technical services	4,815	3.3%	3,620	2.7%	1,195	33%
Management of companies and enterprises	515	0.4%	556	0.4%	(41)	(7%)
Administrative and waste services	8,498	5.8%	8,345	6.2%	153	2%
Educational services	8,771	6.0%	8,463	6.2%	308	4%
Health care and social assistance	17,448	11.9%	13,612	10.0%	3,836	28%
Arts, entertainment, and recreation	5,831	4.0%	5,589	4.1%	242	4%
Accommodation and food services	34,736	23.7%	35,103	25.9%	(367)	(1%)
Other services, exc. public administration	3,713	2.5%	3,184	2.3%	529	17%
Public administration	6,859	4.7%	6,251	4.6%	608	10%

 Table 3: Employment by Industry – Horry County

Source: South Carolina Department of Employment & Workforce - Horry County

Table 4: Place of Work/ Means of Transportation (2022)	Table 4:	Place of Work/	Means of Trans	portation (2022)
--	----------	----------------	-----------------------	------------------

	·	Myrtle ach	Myrtle B	Horry County		
Total	17,475	100.0%	35,409	100.0%	152,128	100.0%
Worked in State of Residence	16,889	96.6%	34,461	97.3%	147,464	96.9%
Worked in County of Residence	16,230	92.9%	33,279	94.0%	140,035	92.1%
Worked Outside County of Residence	659	3.8%	1,182	3.3%	7,429	4.9%
Worked Outside State of Residence	586	3.4%	948	2.7%	4,664	3.1%
				DIZ		
MEANS OF 1	City of	RTATION Myrtle ach		RK each PMA	Horry	County
	City of	Myrtle			Horry 152,128	·
	City of Be	Myrtle ach	Myrtle Bo	each PMA	v	100.0%
Total	City of Be 17,475	Myrtle ach 100.0%	Myrtle Bo 35,409	each PMA 100.0%	152,128	100.0%
Total Drove Alone - Car, Truck, or Van	City of Be 17,475 13,282	Myrtle ach 100.0% 76.0%	Myrtle Be 35,409 27,702	each PMA 100.0% 78.2%	152,128 121,076	100.0% 79.6%
Total Drove Alone - Car, Truck, or Van Carpooled - Car, Truck, or Van	City of Be 17,475 13,282 1,284	Myrtle ach 100.0% 76.0% 7.3%	Myrtle Bo 35,409 27,702 2,909	each PMA 100.0% 78.2% 8.2%	152,128 121,076 12,228	100.0% 79.6% 8.0%
Total Drove Alone - Car, Truck, or Van Carpooled - Car, Truck, or Van Public Transportation	City of Be 17,475 13,282 1,284 283	Myrtle ach 100.0% 76.0% 7.3% 1.6%	Myrtle Bo 35,409 27,702 2,909 277	each PMA 100.0% 78.2% 8.2% 0.8%	152,128 121,076 12,228 608	100.0% 79.6% 8.0% 0.4%

Employer (listed alphabetically)	Employer (listed alphabetically)
Adidas America Inc.	City of Myrtle Beach
Coastal Carolina University	Conway Hospital Inc.
EOS Hospitality LLC	Food Lion LLC
Founders National Golf LLC	Georgetown Hospital System
Grand Strand Regional Medical Center	Hilton Grand Vacations Company, LLC
Horry County Council	Horry County Dept. of Education
Horry Telephone Cooperative Inc.	Loris Community Hospital District
McLeod Loris Seacoast Hospital	OS Restaurant Services, Inc.
Publix Super Market Inc.	Randstad US LLC
Resort Employee Staffing LLC	Wal-Mart Associates Inc.

Table 5:	20 Largest	Employers -	- Horry	County
		J ~_~		

Source: S.C. Departments of Employment & Workforce - 2023 Q3

		Horry	County		Employ	ment Annual (Change	Une	employment R	ate
Year	Labor Force	Number Employed	Annual Change	Percent Change	Horry County	South Carolina	United States	Horry County	South Carolina	United States
2010	132,121	115,661						12.5%	11.3%	9.6%
2011	132,897	117,260	1,599	1.4%	1.4%	1.5%	0.6%	11.8%	10.4%	8.9%
2012	132,855	119,373	2,113	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%	1.9%	10.1%	9.0%	8.1%
2013	133,217	122,022	2,649	2.2%	2.2%	2.1%	1.0%	8.4%	7.4%	7.4%
2014	134,144	124,494	2,472	2.0%	2.0%	2.4%	1.7%	7.2%	6.3%	6.2%
2015	137,237	127,562	3,068	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	1.7%	7.0%	5.9%	5.3%
2016	139,740	131,738	4,176	3.3%	3.3%	1.9%	1.7%	5.7%	4.9%	4.9%
2017	140,661	133,715	1,977	1.5%	1.5%	-0.3%	1.6%	4.9%	4.2%	4.4%
2018	142,044	136,073	2,358	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%	1.6%	4.2%	3.4%	3.9%
2019	144,761	139,608	3,535	2.6%	2.6%	2.5%	1.1%	3.6%	2.8%	3.7%
2020	144,541	132,397	(7,211)	-5.2%	-5.2%	-2.9%	-6.2%	8.4%	6.0%	8.1%
2021	147,228	139,869	7,472	5.6%	5.6%	3.0%	3.2%	5.0%	3.9%	5.3%
2022	150,593	144,734	4,865	3.5%	3.5%	1.6%	3.7%	3.9%	3.2%	3.6%
2023	157,086	151,508	6,774	4.7%	4.7%	3.3%	1.7%	3.6%	3.1%	3.6%
Feb-23*	151,805	144,559						4.8%	3.7%	3.9%
Feb-24*	159,097	151,876	7,317	5.1%	5.1%	2.6%	0.4%	4.5%	3.6%	4.2%

Table 6: Historical Employment Trends

H	orry Count	y	South Ca	arolina		
Change (2010-2015): Change (2015-2020): Change (2020-2023)	<u>Number</u> 11,901 4,835 12,337	Percent 10.3% 3.8% 9.3%	<u>Ann. Avg.</u> 2.1% 0.8% 3.1%	Change (2010-2015): Change (2015-2020): Change (2020-2023)	Percent 10.7% 2.9% 4.7%	Ann. Avg. 2.1% 0.6% 1.6%

*Monthly data not seasonally adjusted







Figure 1: Employment Growth







Map 10: Employment Concentrations – Myrtle Beach Area

E. COMMUNITY DEMOGRAPHIC DATA

<u>1. Population, Household, and Income Trends</u>

Based on U.S. Census data and ESRI forecasts, much of Horry County has exhibited extremely positive demographic patterns since 2010, with steady gains expected to continue over the next five years. As such, the following provides a summary of key demographic trends for the Myrtle Beach market area, with greater detail found in the tables on the following pages:

- **Overall Population** According to the most recent estimates, the Myrtle Beach PMA had an estimated overall population of 79,549 persons in 2024, representing an increase of 40 percent from 2010 (nearly 22,900 persons). Future projections indicate continued strong growth over the next five years, with an additional increase of six percent (roughly 4,900 persons) between 2024 and 2029. In comparison, Myrtle Beach proper increased by a slightly greater 45 percent since 2010, while Horry County as a whole increased by 44 percent during this time demonstrating substantial growth throughout the region.
- **Overall Households** Similar to population patterns, the number of occupied households within the PMA increased by 45 percent since 2010 (more than 11,200 housing units), with forecasts estimating an additional increase of approximately 2,600 households through 2029 representing an increase of seven percent over the next five years.
- **Renter Households** The number of renter units within the PMA also exhibited strong gains since 2010, albeit at a somewhat more modest rate as compared to overall household creation. As such, renter-occupied households increased by 26 percent (more than 3,000 rental units) between 2010 and 2024, and is anticipated to increase by an additional six percent through 2029 (roughly 825 units).
- **Renter Propensities** Overall, a relatively high ratio of renter households exists throughout the Myrtle Beach area. As such, the renter household percentage was calculated at 41 percent of all occupied units within the PMA in 2024, which was similar to the city ratio (at 43 percent) and well-above county-wide levels (27 percent). It should also be noted that renter propensities within all three areas have decreased somewhat since 2010, indicative of the increased single-family development due to the continued migration trends to coastal regions.
- Age Distribution Based on U.S. Census data, the largest population group for the PMA in 2024 consisted of persons between the ages of 20 and 44 years, accounting for 37 percent of all persons. When reviewing distribution patterns between 2010 and 2029, the aging of the population is evident within all three areas analyzed. As such, while the proportion of persons under the age of 45 has declined somewhat over the past decade, the fastest growing portion of the population base is the older age segments. Within the PMA, persons 65 years and older, which represented 14 percent of the population in 2010, is expected to increase to account for 21 percent of all persons by 2029 demonstrating the aging of the baby boom generation consistent to that exhibited throughout the state and nation.

- Future Age Trends Although decreasing somewhat, the steady percentage of population below the age of 45 seen throughout Myrtle Beach and the PMA (at 54 percent and 56 percent of all persons in 2029, respectively) signifies positive trends for the subject proposal by continuing to provide a solid base of potential tenants for the subject property.
- **Overall Household Sizes** Average household sizes throughout the Myrtle Beach PMA have decreased somewhat since 2010, generally consistent with an aging population. Based on census data, the PMA contains somewhat larger household sizes than the city. As such, the PMA had an average of 2.20 persons per household in 2024, while the city had an average household size of 2.09 persons.
- Median Household Income The median household income for the Myrtle Beach PMA was estimated at \$51,841 for 2024, which was approximately three percent greater than Myrtle Beach proper (at \$50,155) but nine percent lower than Horry County as a whole (\$56,906). In comparison to 2010 figures, income appreciation throughout the Myrtle Beach area has been generally modest over the past decade. As such, the median household income increased by 1.9 percent annually for the PMA between 2010 and 2024, as compared to a 2.5 percent annual increase for the city. However, somewhat lackluster income growth is anticipated over the next five years, with an annual increase of just 0.9 percent for the PMA between 2024 and 2029.
- Overall Income Distribution According to the U.S. Census Bureau's American Community Survey, approximately 32 percent of all households within the PMA had an annual income of less than \$35,000 in 2024 the portion of the population with the greatest need for affordable housing options. Further, roughly 47 percent of PMA households had an income below \$50,000. In comparison, a slightly greater 38 percent of households within Myrtle Beach itself had incomes below \$35,000, while 50 percent were below \$50,000 annually. With roughly one-third of all households within the PMA earning less than \$35,000 per year (and nearly one-half of all households under \$50,000), affordable housing options will undoubtedly continue to be in demand locally.
- **Rent Overburdened Households** The most recent American Community Survey data shows that approximately 47 percent of renter households within the PMA are rent-overburdened (paying more than 35 percent of household income to gross rent), slightly below the city's overburdened percentage of 54 percent providing a clear indication of the high housing costs throughout the Myrtle Beach area.
- **Income-Qualified Households** Overall, the key income range for the subject proposal is \$25,543 to \$51,540 (in current dollars). As such, roughly 25 percent of the PMA's owner-occupied household number, and 33 percent of the renter-occupied household figure are within the income-qualified range. Considering the relative density of the PMA, this equates to nearly 10,500 potential income-qualified households for the proposed development, including more than 5,000 income-qualified renter households.

	Ove	erall Population	on							
	<u>2010</u>	2020	2024	2026	2029					
City of Myrtle Beach	27,615	35,693	40,065	41,052	42,534					
Myrtle Beach PMA	56,672	72,702	79,549	81,511	84,454					
Horry County	269,294	351,029	387,418	398,511	415,151					
Overall Change										
	2010-2020	2010-2024	2020-2024	2024-2026	2024-2029					
City of Myrtle Beach	29.3%	45.1%	12.2%	2.5%	6.2%					
Myrtle Beach PMA	28.3%	40.4%	9.4%	2.5%	6.2%					
Horry County	30.4%	43.9%	10.4%	2.9%	7.2%					
	Α	nnual Change	•							
	2010-2020	<u>2010-2024</u>	2020-2024	<u>2024-2026</u>	<u>2024-2029</u>					
City of Myrtle Beach	2.6%	2.7%	2.9%	1.2%	1.2%					
Myrtle Beach PMA	2.5%	2.5%	2.3%	1.2%	1.2%					
Horry County	2.7%	2.6%	2.5%	1.4%	1.4%					

Table 7:	Population	Trends	(2010 to	2029)
----------	------------	--------	----------	-------

Table 8: Household Trends (2010 to 2029)

	Ove	2,330 16,765 18,965 19,534 20,386 4,700 32,614 35,909 36,956 38,527 2,226 150,221 167,422 172,945 181,230								
	2010	2020	2024	2026	<u>2029</u>					
City of Myrtle Beach	12,330	16,765	18,965	19,534	20,386					
Myrtle Beach PMA	24,700	32,614	35,909	36,956	38,527					
Horry County	112,226	150,221	167,422	172,945	181,230					
	0	verall Change	<u>)</u>							
2010 2020 2024 2026 2029 City of Myrtle Beach 12,330 16,765 18,965 19,534 20,386 Ayrtle Beach PMA 24,700 32,614 35,909 36,956 38,527 Horry County 112,226 150,221 167,422 172,945 181,230 Overall Change Change <th< td=""></th<>										
	Change	Change	Change	Change	Change					
City of Myrtle Beach	36.0%	2020 16,765 32,614 150,221 Overall Change 2010-2024 <u>Change</u> 53.8%	13.1%	3.0%	7.5%					
Myrtle Beach PMA	32.0%	45.4%	10.1%	2.9%	7.3%					
	33.9%	10 2%	11.5%	3.3%	8.2%					

Source: U.S. Census American FactFinder; ESRI Business Analyst; Shaw Research & Consulting, LLC

Table 9: Average Household Size (2010 to 2029)

	Popula	tion Per Hous	ehold			
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2024</u>	<u>2026</u>	<u>2029</u>	
City of Myrtle Beach	2.22	2.11	2.09	2.08	2.07	
Myrtle Beach PMA	2.28	2.21	2.20	2.19	2.17	
Horry County	2.37	2.29	2.27	2.26	2.25	
		Change				
	2010-2020	2010-2024	2020-2024	2024-2026	2024-2029	
City of Myrtle Beach	-5.2%	-5.9%	-0.7%	-0.5%	-1.2%	
Myrtle Beach PMA	-3.1%	-3.6%	-0.5%	$ \begin{array}{r} \hline \hline 2.08 & 2 \\ 2.19 & 2 \\ 2.26 & 2 \\ \hline 4 & \underline{2024-2026} & \underline{2024} \\ -0.5\% & -1 \\ \end{array} $	-1.0%	
	-3.5%	-4.3%	-0.8%	0 404	0.00/	

		City of Myrtle Beach Myrtle Beach PMA Horry Cou						County				
	2010 Percent	2020 Percent	2024 Percent	2029 <u>Percent</u>	2010 Percent	2020 Percent	2024 Percent	2029 Percent	2010 Percent	2020 Percent	2024 Percent	2029 Percent
Under 20 years	20.8%	17.5%	19.1%	19.7%	21.7%	19.5%	20.4%	20.2%	23.0%	20.0%	21.0%	22.1%
20 to 24 years	7.6%	4.8%	6.1%	6.0%	8.4%	5.3%	6.6%	7.2%	6.8%	5.4%	5.8%	5.8%
25 to 34 years	16.1%	11.6%	15.0%	14.9%	16.8%	12.8%	16.4%	14.8%	12.6%	10.0%	12.5%	12.4%
35 to 44 years	13.4%	11.0%	13.9%	13.8%	13.0%	11.5%	14.0%	14.2%	12.4%	10.3%	12.3%	12.1%
45 to 54 years	14.5%	12.6%	12.1%	12.0%	13.6%	12.3%	11.3%	11.5%	13.8%	11.8%	11.4%	11.3%
55 to 64 years	12.5%	17.2%	13.0%	12.9%	12.3%	15.4%	12.2%	11.3%	14.3%	15.8%	13.8%	13.6%
65 to 74 years	8.5%	16.6%	11.9%	11.8%	8.3%	15.1%	11.3%	11.1%	10.5%	17.4%	14.1%	13.9%
75 to 84 years	4.8%	6.7%	6.4%	6.4%	4.2%	6.2%	5.9%	7.3%	5.1%	7.5%	7.2%	7.1%
85 years and older	1.9%	2.1%	2.5%	2.5%	1.6%	1.9%	2.0%	2.4%	1.5%	1.9%	1.9%	1.9%
Under 20 years	20.8%	17.5%	19.1%	19.7%	21.7%	19.5%	20.4%	20.2%	23.0%	20.0%	21.0%	22.1%
20 to 44 years	37.1%	27.4%	35.0%	34.7%	38.2%	29.7%	37.0%	36.1%	31.8%	25.7%	30.6%	30.2%
45 to 64 years	27.0%	29.8%	25.1%	24.9%	25.9%	27.6%	23.4%	22.8%	28.1%	27.5%	25.2%	24.9%
65 years and older	15.1%	25.4%	20.9%	20.7%	14.1%	23.2%	19.2%	20.8%	17.1%	26.8%	23.1%	22.8%
55 years and older	27.6%	42.5%	33.8%	33.6%	26.4%	38.5%	31.4%	32.1%	31.4%	42.5%	36.9%	36.4%
75 years and older	6.7%	8.7%	8.9%	8.9%	5.9%	8.1%	7.9%	9.7%	6.6%	9.4%	9.1%	8.9%
Non-Elderly (<65)	84.9%	74.6%	79.1%	79.3%	85.9%	76.8%	80.8%	79.2%	82.9%	73.2%	76.9%	77.2%
Elderly (65+)	15.1%	25.4%	20.9%	20.7%	14.1%	23.2%	19.2%	20.8%	17.1%	26.8%	23.1%	22.8%

 Table 10: Age Distribution (2010 to 2029)

Overall Renter Households											
<u>2010</u> <u>2020</u> <u>2024</u> <u>2026</u> <u>2029</u>											
City of Myrtle Beach	6,207	7,205	8,093	8,363	8,768						
Myrtle Beach PMA	11,689	13,224	14,708	15,040	15,538						
Horry County	35,228	39,209	44,896	46,005	47,670						
Overall Change											
	2010-2020	2010-2024	2020-2024	2024-2026	2024-2029						
City of Myrtle Beach	16.1%	30.4%	12.3%	3.3%	8.3%						
Myrtle Beach PMA	13.1%	25.8%	11.2%	2.3%	5.6%						
Horry County	11.3%	27.4%	14.5%	2.5%	6.2%						
	Overall	Renter Perce	entage								
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2024</u>	<u>2026</u>	<u>2029</u>						
City of Myrtle Beach	50.3%	43.0%	42.7%	42.8%	43.0%						
Myrtle Beach PMA	47.3%	40.5%	41.0%	40.7%	40.3%						
	31.4%	26.1%	26.8%	26.6%	26.3%						

Table 11:	Renter	Household	Trends	(2010 to	2029)
-----------	--------	-----------	--------	----------	-------

Table 12: Rental Units by Size (2020)

	One	Two	Three	Four	5 or More						
	Person	Persons	Persons	Persons	Persons						
City of Myrtle Beach	2,937	2,085	998	635	550						
Myrtle Beach PMA	4,921	4,107	1,953	1,277	966						
Horry County	13,139	11,820	6,301	4,495	3,454						
	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5+ Person						
	1 Person <u>Percent</u>	2 Person <u>Percent</u>	3 Person <u>Percent</u>	4 Person <u>Percent</u>	5+ Person <u>Percent</u>						
City of Myrtle Beach											
City of Myrtle Beach Myrtle Beach PMA	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent						

Median Household Income											
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2024</u>	<u>2026</u>	2029						
City of Myrtle Beach	\$37,669	\$43,378	\$50,155	\$51,398	\$53,263						
Myrtle Beach PMA	\$41,479	\$48,351	\$51,841	\$52,954	\$54,622						
Horry County	\$43,142	\$51,570	\$56,906	\$58,969	\$62,064						
Household Income Overall Change											
	2010-2020	2010-2024	2020-2024	2024-2026	2024-2029						
City of Myrtle Beach	15.2%	33.1%	15.6%	2.5%	6.2%						
Myrtle Beach PMA	16.6%	25.0%	7.2%	2.1%	5.4%						
Horry County	19.5%	31.9%	10.3%	3.6%	9.1%						
	Household	Income Annua	al Change								
	2010-2020	2010-2024	2020-2024	2024-2026	2024-2029						
City of Myrtle Beach	1.4%	2.5%	5.2%	0.8%	1.0%						
Myrtle Beach PMA	1.5%	1.9%	2.4%	0.7%	0.9%						
Horry County	1.8%	2.5%	3.4%	1.2%	1.5%						

Table 13:I	Median	Household	Incomes	(2010 to	2029)
------------	--------	-----------	---------	----------	-------

 Table 14: Overall Household Income Distribution (2024)

Income Range	City of My	tle Beach Myrtle Beach PMA		Horry County		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Less than \$10,000	1,162	6.1%	1,814	5.1%	8,695	5.2%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	932	4.9%	1,383	3.9%	6,126	3.7%
\$15,000 to \$19,999	878	4.6%	1,650	4.6%	5,860	3.5%
\$20,000 to \$24,999	1,409	7.4%	2,052	5.7%	7,805	4.7%
\$25,000 to \$29,999	1,267	6.7%	2,016	5.6%	8,478	5.1%
\$30,000 to \$34,999	1,470	7.8%	2,661	7.4%	8,412	5.0%
\$35,000 to \$39,999	904	4.8%	1,870	5.2%	8,350	5.0%
\$40,000 to \$44,999	737	3.9%	1,481	4.1%	7,544	4.5%
\$45,000 to \$49,999	638	3.4%	1,828	5.1%	8,064	4.8%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	1,516	8.0%	3,618	10.1%	14,535	8.7%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	1,806	9.5%	3,334	9.3%	18,908	11.3%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	1,967	10.4%	4,142	11.5%	22,856	13.7%
\$100,000 to \$124,999	1,040	5.5%	2,258	6.3%	14,683	8.8%
\$125,000 to \$149,999	933	4.9%	1,930	5.4%	9,363	5.6%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	1,035	5.5%	1,794	5.0%	8,934	5.3%
\$200,000 and Over	1,271	6.7%	2,076	<u>5.8%</u>	8,807	5.3%
TOTAL	18,965	100.0%	35,909	100.0%	167,422	100.0%
Less than \$34,999	7,119	37.5%	11,576	32.2%	45,377	27.1%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	2,279	12.0%	5,179	14.4%	23,958	14.3%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	3,322	17.5%	6,952	19.4%	33,442	20.0%
\$75,000 to \$99,000	1,967	10.4%	4,142	11.5%	22,856	13.7%
\$100,000 and Over	4,279	22.6%	8,059	22.4%	41,787	25.0%

Income Range	Numbe	Number of 2026 Households			Percent of 2026 Household			
	Total	<u>Owner</u>	<u>Renter</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Owner</u>	Renter		
Less than \$5,000	933	365	569	2.5%	1.7%	3.8%		
\$5,001 - \$9,999	973	445	528	2.6%	2.0%	3.5%		
\$10,000 - \$14,999	1,474	472	1,001	3.9%	2.2%	6.7%		
\$15,000 - \$19,999	1,731	767	963	4.6%	3.5%	6.4%		
\$20,000 - \$24,999	2,158	911	1,248	5.7%	4.2%	8.3%		
\$25,000 - \$34,999	4,858	2,519	2,339	13.0%	11.5%	15.6%		
\$35,000 - \$49,999	5,383	2,772	2,611	14.4%	12.6%	17.4%		
\$50,000 - \$74,999	7,176	4,086	3,089	19.4%	18.6%	20.5%		
\$75,000 or More	12,270	<u>9,579</u>	2,691	34.0%	43.7%	<u>17.9%</u>		
Total	36,956	21,916	15,040	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

 Table 15: Household Income by Tenure – PMA (2026)

 Table 16: Renter Overburdened Households (2022)

Gross Rent as a % of Household Income	City of My	vrtle Beach	Myrtle Beach PMA		Horry County	
	<u>Number</u>	Percent	<u>Number</u>	Percent	<u>Number</u>	Percent
Total Rental Units	6,385	100.0%	11,849	100.0%	35,313	100.0%
Less than 10.0 Percent	106	1.8%	244	2.2%	1,205	3.8%
10.0 to 14.9 Percent	325	5.4%	856	7.7%	2,799	8.7%
15.0 to 19.9 Percent	599	9.9%	1,137	10.2%	3,960	12.3%
20.0 to 24.9 Percent	576	9.5%	1,183	10.6%	4,109	12.8%
25.0 to 29.9 Percent	608	10.1%	1,328	11.9%	3,411	10.6%
30.0 to 34.9 Percent	586	9.7%	1,196	10.7%	2,938	9.2%
35.0 to 39.9 Percent	306	5.1%	642	5.7%	2,400	7.5%
40.0 to 49.9 Percent	755	12.5%	1,340	12.0%	2,905	9.0%
50 Percent or More	2,176	36.0%	3,245	29.0%	8,376	26.1%
Not Computed	348		678		3,210	
35 Percent or More	3,237	53.6%	5,227	46.8%	13,681	42.6%
40 Percent or More	2,931	48.6%	4,585	41.0%	11,281	35.1%

F. DEMAND ANALYSIS

1. Demand for Tax Credit Rental Units

Demand calculations for each targeted income level of the subject proposal are illustrated in the following tables. Utilizing SCSHFDA guidelines, demand estimates will be measured from three key sources: household growth, substandard housing, and rent-overburdened households. All demand sources will be income-qualified, based on the targeting plan of the subject proposal and current LIHTC income restrictions as published by SCSHFDA. Demand estimates will be calculated for units designated at each income level targeted in the subject proposal – in this case, at 50 percent and 60 percent of AMI. As such, calculations will be based on the starting rental rate, a 35 percent rent-to-income ratio, and a maximum income of \$51,540 (the 5-person income limit at 60 percent AMI for Horry County). The resulting overall incomeeligibility range (expressed in current-year dollars) for each targeted income level is as follows:

	Minimum	<u>Maximum</u>
50 percent of AMI	\$25,543	\$42,950
60 percent of AMI	\$30,651	\$51,540
Overall LIHTC	\$25,543	\$51,540

By applying the income-qualified range and 2026 household forecasts to the current-year household income distribution by tenure, the number of income-qualified households can be calculated. As a result, 33 percent of all renter households within the PMA are estimated to fall within the stated LIHTC qualified income range. In addition, it should also be noted that only larger renter households (those with three persons or more) were utilized within the demand calculations for three-bedroom units.

Based on U.S. Census data and projections from ESRI, approximately 332 additional renter households are anticipated within the PMA between 2024 and 2026. By applying the income-qualified percentage to the overall eligible figure, a demand for 111 tax credit rental units can be calculated as a result of new rental household growth.

Using U.S. Census data on substandard rental housing, it is estimated that approximately four percent of all renter households within the PMA could be considered substandard, either by overcrowding (a greater than 1-to-1 ratio of persons to rooms) or incomplete plumbing facilities

(a unit that lacks at least a sink, bathtub, or toilet). Applying this figure, along with the renter propensity and income-qualified percentage, to the number of households currently present in 2024 (the base year utilized within the demand calculations), the tax credit demand resulting from substandard units is calculated at 190 units within the PMA.

And lastly, potential demand for the subject proposal may also arise from those households experiencing rent-overburden, defined by households paying greater than 35 percent of monthly income for rent. Excluding owner-occupied units, an estimate of market potential for the subject proposal based on data on rent-overburdened households paying more than 35 percent of monthly income for rent is calculated. Using information contained within the American Housing Survey, the percentage of renter households within this overburdened range is reported at approximately 47 percent. Applying this rate to the number of renter households yields a total demand of 2,294 additional units as a result of rent overburden.

Comparable LIHTC units currently in process or recently completed need to be deducted from the sources of demand listed previously – this includes units placed in service in 2022, those which received a tax credit allocation in 2022, and those units currently in process or under construction. As such, a total of 65 units needs to be factored into the demand calculations (those similar units within Bay Pointe III targeted at 50 percent and 60 percent AMI were utilized). Combining all above factors results in an overall demand of 2,530 tax credit units for 2026 for the PMA.

Calculations by individual bedroom size are also provided utilizing the same methodology. As such, it is clear that sufficient demand exists for the project and each unit type proposed. Therefore, an additional rental housing option for low-income households should receive a positive response due to the strong demand forecasts for the Myrtle Beach area, as well as high occupancy levels and long waiting lists within existing local affordable rental developments.

Total Occupied Households - 2024 Owner-Occupied Households - 2024 Renter-Occupied Households - 2024	35,909 21,201 14,708			
		In	come Target	ing
		50% AMI	60% AMI	Total LIHTC
QUALIFIED-INCOME RANGE				
Minimum Annual Income		\$25,543	\$30,651	\$25,543
Maximum Annual Income		\$42,950	\$51,540	\$51,540
DEMAND FROM NEW HOUSEHOLD GROW	TH			
Renter Household Growth, 2024-2026		332	332	332
Percent Income Qualified Renter Households	3	23.9%	25.4%	33.3%
Total Demand From New Households		79	84	111
DEMAND FROM EXISTING HOUSEHOLDS				
Percent of Renters in Substandard Housing		3.9%	3.9%	3.9%
Percent Income Qualified Renter Households	8	23.9%	25.4%	33.3%
Total Demand From Substandard Renter	HHs	137	145	190
Percent of Renters Rent-Overburdened		46.8%	46.8%	46.8%
Percent Income Qualified Renter Households	8	23.9%	25.4%	33.3%
Total Demand From Overburdened Rent	er HHs	1,646	1,747	2,294
Total Demand From Existing Households	;	1,782	1,892	2,484
TOTAL DEMAND		1,861	1,976	2,595
LESS: Total Comparable Activity Since 2022		30	35	65
TOTAL NET DEMAND		1,831	1,941	2,530
PROPOSED NUMBER OF UNITS		23	23	46
CAPTURE RATE		1.3%	1.2%	1.8%
Note: Totals may not sum due to rounding				

Table 17: Demand Calculations – by AMI

Total Occupied Households - 2024 Owner-Occupied Households - 2024 Renter-Occupied Households - 2024	35,909 21,201 14,708						
		One	Bedroom	Units	Two	-Bedroom	Units
		50% <u>AMI</u>	60% <u>AMI</u>	Total <u>LIHTC</u>	50% <u>AMI</u>	60% <u>AMI</u>	Total <u>LIHTC</u>
QUALIFIED-INCOME RANGE		****	******	*** * * *	**	*****	**
Minimum Annual Income Maximum Annual Income		\$25,543 \$31,800	\$30,651 \$38,160	\$25,543 \$38,160	\$30,686 \$35,800	\$36,823 \$42,960	\$30,686 \$42,960
DEMAND FROM NEW HOUSEHOLD GROW	ТН						
Renter Household Growth, 2024-2026		332	332	332	332	332	332
Percent Income Qualified Renter Households		10%	10%	18%	8%	7%	16%
Percentage of large renter households (3+ per	rsons)						
Total Demand From New Households		32	35	61	25	24	53
DEMAND FROM EXISTING HOUSEHOLDS							
Percent of Renters in Substandard Housing		3.9%	3.9%	3.9%	3.9%	3.9%	3.9%
Percent Income Qualified Renter Households		10%	10%	18%	8%	7%	16%
Percentage of large renter households (3+ per	sons)						
Total Demand From Substandard Renter	,	56	59	105	44	41	91
Percent of Renters Rent-Overburdened		46.8%	46.8%	46.8%	46.8%	46.8%	46.8%
Percent Income Qualified Renter Households		10%	10%	18%	8%	7%	16%
Percentage of large renter households (3+ per	sons)						
Total Demand From Overburdened Rente		670	717	1,264	525	489	1,096
Total Demand From Existing Households		725	777	1,369	569	529	1,187
TOTAL DEMAND		758	811	1,430	594	553	1,240
LESS: Total Comparable Activity Since 2022		0	0	0	15	17	32
TOTAL NET DEMAND		758	811	1,430	579	536	1,208
PROPOSED NUMBER OF UNITS		3	3	6	8	8	16
CAPTURE RATE		0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	1.4%	1.5%	1.3%
Note: Totals may not sum due to rounding							

Table 18a: Demand Calculation – One and Two-Bedroom Units

Total Occupied Households - 2024 Owner-Occupied Households - 2024 Renter-Occupied Households - 2024	35,909 21,201 14,708			
		Thr	ee-Bedroom	Units
		50% <u>AMI</u>	60% <u>AMI</u>	Total <u>LIHTC</u>
QUALIFIED-INCOME RANGE				
Minimum Annual Income Maximum Annual Income		\$35,417 \$42,950	\$42,514 \$51,540	\$35,417 \$51,540
DEMAND FROM NEW HOUSEHOLD GROWTH				
Renter Household Growth, 2024-2026		332	332	332
Percent Income Qualified Renter Households		9%	10%	18%
Percentage of large renter households (3+ persons)		32%	32%	32%
Total Demand From New Households		9	10	19
DEMAND FROM EXISTING HOUSEHOLDS				
Percent of Renters in Substandard Housing		3.9%	3.9%	3.9%
Percent Income Qualified Renter Households		9%	10%	18%
Percentage of large renter households (3+ persons)		32%	32%	32%
Total Demand From Substandard Renter HHs		16	18	33
Percent of Renters Rent-Overburdened		46.8%	46.8%	46.8%
Percent Income Qualified Renter Households		9%	10%	18%
Percentage of large renter households (3+ persons)		32%	32%	32%
Total Demand From Overburdened Renter HH	s	190	217	396
Total Demand From Existing Households		206	235	429
TOTAL DEMAND		215	245	448
LESS: Total Comparable Activity Since 2022		15	18	33
TOTAL NET DEMAND		200	227	415
PROPOSED NUMBER OF UNITS		12	12	24
CAPTURE RATE		6.0%	5.3%	5.8%
Note: Totals may not sum due to rounding				

Table 18b: Demand Calculation – Three-Bedroom Units

2. Capture and Absorption Rates

Utilizing information from the demand forecast calculations, capture rates provide an indication of the percentage of annual income-qualified demand necessary for the successful development and absorption of the subject proposal. An overall capture rate of just 1.8 percent was determined based on the demand calculation (including renter household growth, substandard and/or overburdened units among existing renter households, and excluding any comparable activity since 2022), providing a clear indication of the overall general market depth for the subject proposal. More specifically, individual capture rates by bedroom size range between 0.4 percent and 5.8 percent. As such, these capture rates provide a positive indication of strong market depth and the need for affordable rental options locally, and are well-within acceptable industry thresholds and should be considered a very positive factor.

Taking into consideration the high occupancy rates and waiting lists within each LIHTC property surveyed within or near the Myrtle Beach PMA, and also factoring in the extremely positive demographic patterns throughout Horry County, the overall absorption period to reach 93 percent occupancy is conservatively estimated at three to four months. This determination also takes into consideration a market entry in 2026; a minimum of 20 percent of units preleased; and assumes all units will enter the market at approximately the same time. This estimate is also based on the rapid lease-up of the area's two most recent LIHTC properties (both Bay Pointe III and Waterford Pointe were fully leased within one month of opening in 2023). Based on this information, no market-related concerns are present.

G. SUPPLY/COMPARABLE RENTAL ANALYSIS

1. Local Rental Market Characteristics

As part of the rental analysis for Myrtle Beach, a survey of existing rental projects within or near the primary market area was recently completed by Shaw Research and Consulting. Overall, a total of 22 apartment properties were identified and questioned for information such as current rental rates, amenities, and vacancy levels. As such, results from the survey provide an indication of overall market conditions throughout the area, and are discussed below and illustrated on the following pages.

- Overall conditions for the local rental market appear generally positive at the current time. Among the properties participating in the survey, the overall occupancy rate was calculated at 94.6 percent with 13 of the 22 developments at 97 percent occupancy or better.
- A total of 3,057 units were reported within the survey, with the majority of units containing two bedrooms. Among the properties providing a specific unit breakdown, 34 percent of all units had one bedroom, 47 percent were two-bedrooms, and 17 percent contained three bedrooms. There were relatively few studio/efficiency and four-bedroom units reported in the survey.
- The average year of construction or most recent rehab among these facilities was 2008, averaging approximately 16 years old with eight properties built or renovated since 2015 (three of which were LIHTC projects).
- It should be noted that one tax credit development would not participate in our survey, and would not return phone calls/messages after repeated daily attempts spanning more than five weeks. Carolina Oaks Village contains a total of 48 two and three-bedroom units targeted to households at 50 and 60 percent AMI. Based on previous surveys over the past five years, the facility has typically been at or near 100 percent occupancy with a waiting list.
- Further, several market rate properties within the PMA are presently under rehab, and are not included in the following tables and analysis due to higher than typical vacancies and incomplete rent data. Projects under rehab include the following:
 - Ivystone at Palmetto Pointe (664 units)
 - River Landing Apts (340 units)
 - Seaside Grove Apts (312 units)
- Eight of the 22 facilities within the survey reported to have some sort of income eligibility requirements, with six LIHTC properties and two subsidized developments.
- When breaking down occupancy rates by financing type, market-rate developments averaged 93.5 percent occupancy, LIHTC units are 98.4 percent occupied, and subsidized properties averaged 97.2 percent occupancy. Although occupancy rates for market-rate facilities have declined somewhat recently, affordable developments remain extremely strong.

- Considering the six LIHTC developments included in the survey, each reported an occupancy rate of 96 or above, and four were 99 or 100 percent occupied. In addition, each reported a waiting list, most of which were quite extensive and reflective of the strong demand for affordable housing within Myrtle Beach.
- The most recent tax credit property within the defined PMA is Bay Pointe III, a 70-unit general-occupancy development which entered the market in December 2023 consisting of two and three-bedroom units targeting households at 30, 50, and 60 percent of AMI. According to the property manager, the facility is 100 percent occupied and was absorbed in less than one month. Furthermore, there is already more than 100 names on the waiting list, providing perhaps the most direct evidence of the strong demand for affordable housing locally.
- Another new LIHTC development within the greater Myrtle Beach area is Waterford Pointe, a 72-unit general-occupancy project which also opened in 2023. Located just outside of the PMA, the property reported an occupancy rate of 96 percent with more than 100 names on the waiting list. The manager noted that units were leased as they were available/entered the market, and was fully occupied in less than one month of when the full certificate of occupancy was issued.
- Detailed results from our survey of area rental developments are illustrated in the tables on the following pages. As such, the average rent (including market rate and affordable units) for a one-bedroom unit was \$1,265 and 736 square feet (\$1.72 per square foot), two-bedroom units averaged \$1,241 and 1,078 square feet (\$1.15 per square foot), and three-bedrooms averaged \$1,138 and 1,293 square feet (\$0.92 per square foot).
- Overall, the proposed rents are competitive to other local LIHTC properties within the Myrtle Beach PMA, and are extremely affordable relative to market rate averages. When adjusting rents to normalize for differences in the utility structure (the subject will include only trash removal, while utilities vary in other projects), the proposed rents are nearly identical to Bay Pointe II. Considering the rapid lease-up and long waiting list (100+ names) at Bay Pointe III, the proposed rents are clearly achievable and appropriate for the local rental market.
- In addition, the proposed rents are well-below market rate rents, averaging approximately 43 percent lower than the effective market rate averages for each unit size clearly demonstrating the relative affordability of the subject property, and can be considered a positive factor.
- From a market standpoint, it is evident that sufficient demand is present for the development of additional affordable rental units within the Myrtle Beach market area. However, based on prevailing rental rates and income levels, the rent structure is crucial for the long-term viability of any new rental development. In addition to extremely strong occupancy rates within the PMA for affordable housing, the proposed rents demonstrate the subject's affordability relative to the overall market. Further considering the rapid lease-up of two recent LIHTC properties, along with waiting lists at each LIHTC project surveyed, the introduction of Bay Pointe IV Apartments should prove successful and will not have a long-term adverse effect on the local rental market either affordable or market rate.

2. Comparable Pipeline Units

According to SCSHFDA information and local government officials, there are no comparable LIHTC rental developments either proposed or under construction within the Myrtle Beach market area at the current time.

3. Impact on Existing Tax Credit Properties

Based on the relatively strong occupancy rates among LIHTC developments included in the survey (at 98.4 percent), coupled with the rapid absorption of the area's most recent family tax credit projects (Bay Pointe III and Waterford Pointe), the construction of the subject property will likely not have any adverse impact on existing rental properties – either affordable or market rate. Considering demographic characteristics for the Myrtle Beach region (including prevailing income and rent levels), affordable housing will undoubtedly continue to be in demand locally.

4. Competitive Environment

Considering current economic conditions throughout the state and region, homeownership (especially those homes needing monetary improvement) is not a viable alternative to a large percentage of low-income households in the PMA, especially among the target market for the subject development who have generally lower incomes and a greater likelihood of having credit issues and/or require some level of assistance for housing expenses. As such, the subject will have limited competition with home-ownership options.

Project Name	Year Built/ Rehab	Total Units	Studio/ Eff.	1 BR	2 BR	3 BR	4 BR	Heat Incl.	W/S Incl.	Elect. Incl.	Occup. Rate	Туре	Location
Alta Surf Apts	2007	216	0	96	120	0	0	No	No	No	92%	Open	Myrtle Beach
Auburn Court Apts	2001	63	0	NA	NA	0	0	No	Yes	No	98%	Open	Myrtle Beach
Autumn Chase	2000	64	0	48	16	0	0	No	Yes	No	98%	Open	Myrtle Beach
Bay Pointe I/II	2011	106	0	0	56	50	0	No	Yes	No	99%	Open	Myrtle Beach
Bay Pointe III	2024	70	0	0	34	36	0	No	No	No	100%	Open	Myrtle Beach
Cape Landing Apts	1997	288	0	132	108	48	0	No	No	No	94%	Open	Myrtle Beach
Carver Apts	2005	32	0	0	16	16	0	No	Yes	No	100%	Open	Myrtle Beach
Claypond Commons	2001	188	28	149	11	0	0	No	Yes	No	86%	Open	Myrtle Beach
Coastal Exchange Apts	2022	300	NA	NA	NA	NA	0	No	No	No	85%	Open	Myrtle Beach
Coastal Winds Apts	2023	56	0	0	56	0	0	No	No	No	96%	Open	Myrtle Beach
Flintlake Apt Homes	1997	272	0	NA	NA	NA	0	No	No	No	93%	Open	Myrtle Beach
Monticello Park I/II/III	2008	192	0	16	108	68	0	No	MR	No	98%	Open	Myrtle Beach
Palmetto Pointe Apts	1999	320	0	140	168	12	0	No	No	No	97%	Open	Myrtle Beach
Patriots Way Apts	2018	110	0	0	110	0	0	No	Yes	No	100%	Open	Myrtle Beach
Pipers Pointe Apts	2006	72	0	0	36	36	0	No	No	No	99%	Open	Myrtle Beach
Plantation Apts	2006	110	0	54	20	28	8	No	Yes	No	96%	Mixed	Myrtle Beach
The Highlands at Socastee	2018	44	0	0	20	24	0	No	Yes	No	100%	Open	Myrtle Beach
The Luxe at Market Commons	2020	99	0	NA	NA	NA	0	No	No	No	98%	Open	Myrtle Beach
The Pointe at Myrtle Beach	2015	216	0	NA	NA	NA	0	No	No	No	97%	Open	Myrtle Beach
Town Square Apts	1980	65	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	No	No	No	95%	Open	Myrtle Beach
Waterford Pointe	2023	72	0	0	36	36	0	No	No	No	96%	Open	Myrtle Beach
Waterway Crossing Apts	1984	102	0	51	51	0	0	No	No	No	97%	Open	Myrtle Beach
Totals and Averages Unit Distribution	2008	3,057	28 1%	686 34%	966 47%	354 17%	8 0%				94.6%		
SUBJECT PROJECT												• •	
BAY POINTE IV APARTMENTS	2026	46	0	6	16	24	0	No	No	No		Open	Myrtle Beach

Project Name	Year Built/ Rehab	Total Units	Studio/ Eff.	1 BR	2 BR	3 BR	4 BR	Heat Incl.	W/S Incl.	Elect. Incl.	Occup. Rate	Туре	Location
Totals and Averages	2008	3,057	28	686	966	354	8				94.6%		
Unit Distribution			1%	34%	47%	17%	0%						
SUBJECT PROJECT	J										<u> </u>		
BAY POINTE IV APARTMENTS	2026	46	0	6	16	24	0	No	No	No		Open	Myrtle Beach
SUMMARY													
	Number of Dev.	Year Built/ Rehab	Total Units	Studio/ Eff.	1BR	2BR	3BR	4BR	Average Occup.				
Total Developments	22	2008	3,057	28	686	966	354	8	94.6%				
Market Rate Only	14	2005	2,359	28	616	640	60	0	93.5%				
LIHTC Only	6	2015	556	0	16	290	250	0	98.4%				
Subsidized Only	2	2006	142	0	54	36	44	8	97.2%				

 Table 19b:
 Rental Housing Summary

D (N	D	PBRA	1BR	Rent	1BR Squ	are Feet	Rent Pe	r Square	2BR	Rent	2BR Squ	are Feet	Rent Pe	r Square
Project Name	Program	Units	LOW	HIGH	LOW	HIGH	Foot 1	Range	LOW	HIGH	LOW	HIGH	Foot 1	Range
Alta Surf Apts	Market	0	\$1,410	\$1,625	761	833	\$1.69	\$2.14	\$1,650	\$1,825	1,064	1,140	\$1.45	\$1.72
Auburn Court Apts	Market	0	\$1,140		750			\$1.52	\$1,400		1,200			\$1.17
Autumn Chase	Market	0	\$1,140		750			\$1.52	\$1,400		1,100			\$1.27
Bay Pointe I/II	LIHTC	0							\$699	\$960	1,072		\$0.65	\$0.90
Bay Pointe III	LIHTC	0							\$305	\$766	1,103	1,129	\$0.27	\$0.69
Cape Landing Apts	Market	0	\$1,165		695	744	\$1.57	\$1.68	\$1,329		883	1,108	\$1.20	\$1.51
Carver Apts	BOI-HUD	32												
Claypond Commons	Market	0	\$1,297		600			\$2.16	\$1,590		890			\$1.79
Coastal Exchange Apts	Market	0	\$1,375	\$1,734	668	958	\$1.44	\$2.60	\$1,763	\$1,885	1,118	1,259	\$1.40	\$1.69
Coastal Winds Apts	Market	0							\$2,045		1,141			\$1.79
Flintlake Apt Homes	Market	0	\$1,440		810			\$1.78	\$1,570	\$1,635	1,086	1,145	\$1.37	\$1.51
Monticello Park I/II/III	LIHTC/Mrkt	0	\$554	\$696	800		\$0.69	\$0.87	\$657	\$1,075	1,049		\$0.63	\$1.02
Palmetto Pointe Apts	Market	0	\$1,250	\$1,275	652	736	\$1.70	\$1.96	\$1,500	\$1,575	933	1,040	\$1.44	\$1.69
Patriots Way Apts	Market	0							\$1,399	\$1,641	960	980	\$1.43	\$1.71
Pipers Pointe Apts	LIHTC	0							\$623	\$825	1,122		\$0.56	\$0.74
Plantation Apts	LIHTC/BOI	110			625						876			
The Highlands at Socastee	LIHTC	0							\$545	\$655	1,000		\$0.55	\$0.66
The Luxe at Market Commons	Market	0	\$1,500		615			\$2.44	\$1,675		985			\$1.70
The Pointe at Myrtle Beach	Market	0	\$1,305		708			\$1.84	\$1,505		968	1,050	\$1.43	\$1.55
Town Square Apts	Market	0	\$1,275		700			\$1.82	\$1,375		1,250			\$1.10
Waterford Pointe	LIHTC	0							\$305	\$766	1,195	1,231	\$0.25	\$0.64
Waterway Crossing Apts	Market	0	\$1,325		850			\$1.56	\$1,525		1,270			\$1.20
Totals and Averages		142		\$1,265		736		\$1.72		\$1,241		1,078		\$1.15
SUBJECT PROPERTY														
BAY POINTE IV APARTMENTS	LIHTC	0	\$609	\$758	756	756	\$0.81	\$1.00	\$719	\$898	968	968	\$0.74	\$0.93
SUMMARY														
Overall				\$1,265		736		\$1.72		\$1,241		1,078		\$1.15
Market Rate Only LIHTC Only				\$1,350 \$625		739 800		\$1.83 \$0.78		\$1,568 \$661		1,075 1,113		\$1.46 \$0.59

Table 20: Rent Range for 1 & 2 Bedrooms – Contract Rents

Note: Shaded Properties are LIHTC; Rents shown are contract/street rents.

Project Name	Program	3BR	Rent	3BR Squ	are Feet	Rent Per	r Square	4BR	Rent	4BR Squ	are Feet	Rent Per Square
r roject Name	rrogram	LOW	HIGH	LOW	HIGH	Foot l	Range	LOW	HIGH	LOW	HIGH	Foot Range
Alta Surf Apts	Market											
Auburn Court Apts	Market											
Autumn Chase	Market											
Bay Pointe I/II	LIHTC	\$799	\$1,099	1,238		\$0.65	\$0.89					
Bay Pointe III	LIHTC	\$335	\$868	1,359		\$0.25	\$0.64					
Cape Landing Apts	Market	\$1,655		1,356			\$1.22					
Carver Apts	BOI-HUD											
Claypond Commons	Market											
Coastal Exchange Apts	Market	\$2,145		1,457			\$1.47					
Coastal Winds Apts	Market											
Flintlake Apt Homes	Market	\$1,895		1,508			\$1.26					
Monticello Park I/II/III	LIHTC/Mrkt	\$745	\$1,175	1,268		\$0.59	\$0.93					
Palmetto Pointe Apts	Market	\$1,775		1,276			\$1.39					
Patriots Way Apts	Market											
Pipers Pointe Apts	LIHTC	\$706	\$941	1,300		\$0.54	\$0.72					
Plantation Apts	LIHTC/BOI			1,095						1,256		
The Highlands at Socastee	LIHTC	\$610	\$705	1,200		\$0.51	\$0.59					
The Luxe at Market Commons	Market	\$2,025		1,255			\$1.61					
The Pointe at Myrtle Beach	Market	\$1,795		1,161	1,252	\$1.43	\$1.55					
Town Square Apts	Market											
Waterford Pointe	LIHTC	\$335	\$868	1,378		\$0.24	\$0.63					
Waterway Crossing Apts	Market											
Totals and Averages			\$1,138		1,293		\$0.88		NA		NA	NA
SUBJECT PROPERTY												
BAY POINTE IV APARTMENTS	LIHTC	\$810	\$1,017	1,218	1,218	\$0.67	\$0.83		NA		NA	NA
SUMMARY				-				-				
Overall			\$1,138		1,293		\$0.88		NA		NA	NA
Market Rate Only LIHTC Only			\$1,781 \$746		1,324 1,291		\$1.35 \$0.58		NA NA		NA NA	NA NA
			<i></i>		-,		<i>\</i>					1,11

Table 22: Rent Range for 3 & 4 Bedrooms – Contract Rents

Note: Shaded Properties are LIHTC; Rents shown are contract/street rents.

P	PBRA	1BR	Rent	1BR Squ	are Feet	Rent Pe	r Square	2BR	Rent	2BR Squ	are Feet	Rent Per	r Square
Program	Units	LOW	HIGH	LOW	HIGH	Foot 1	Range	LOW	HIGH	LOW	HIGH	Foot I	Range
Market	0	\$1,432	\$1,647	761	833	\$1.72	\$2.16	\$1,672	\$1,847	1,064	1,140	\$1.47	\$1.74
Market	0	\$1,099		750			\$1.47	\$1,345		1,200			\$1.12
Market	0	\$1,099		750			\$1.47	\$1,345		1,100			\$1.22
LIHTC	0							\$644	\$905	1,072		\$0.60	\$0.84
LIHTC	0							\$305	\$766	1,103	1,129	\$0.27	\$0.69
Market	0	\$1,187		695	744	\$1.60	\$1.71	\$1,351		883	1,108	\$1.22	\$1.53
BOI-HUD	32												
Market	0	\$1,256		600			\$2.09	\$1,535		890			\$1.72
Market	0	\$1,397	\$1,756	668	958	\$1.46	\$2.63	\$1,785	\$1,907	1,118	1,259	\$1.42	\$1.71
Market	0							\$2,067		1,141			\$1.81
Market	0	\$1,462		810			\$1.80	\$1,592	\$1,657	1,086	1,145	\$1.39	\$1.53
LIHTC/Mrkt	0	\$554	\$696	800		\$0.69	\$0.87	\$657	\$1,075	1,049		\$0.63	\$1.02
Market	0	\$1,272	\$1,297	652	736	\$1.73	\$1.99	\$1,522	\$1,597	933	1,040	\$1.46	\$1.71
Market	0							\$1,344	\$1,586	960	980	\$1.37	\$1.65
LIHTC	0							\$623	\$825	1,122		\$0.56	\$0.74
LIHTC/BOI	110			625						876			
LIHTC	0							\$490	\$600	1,000		\$0.49	\$0.60
Market	0	\$1,522		615			\$2.47	\$1,697		985			\$1.72
Market	0	\$1,327		708			\$1.87	\$1,527		968	1,050	\$1.45	\$1.58
Market	0	\$1,297		700			\$1.85	\$1,397		1,250			\$1.12
LIHTC	0							\$305	\$766	1,195	1,231	\$0.25	\$0.64
Market	0	\$1,347		850			\$1.58	\$1,547		1,270			\$1.22
	142		\$1,273		736		\$1.73		\$1,235		1,078		\$1.15
LIHTC	0	\$609	\$758	756	756	\$0.81	\$1.00	\$719	\$898	968	968	\$0.74	\$0.93
			\$1,273		736		\$1.73		\$1,235		1,078		\$1.15
			\$1,360 \$625		739 800		\$1.84 \$0.78		\$1,570 \$643				\$1.46 \$0.58
	Market Market LIHTC JUHTC Market BOI-HUD Market Market Market LIHTC/Mrkt LIHTC/Mrkt LIHTC Market LIHTC Market Market Market Market Market Market Market	ProgramUnitsMarket0Market0Market0LIHTC0Market0 <td>Program Units LOW Units LOW Market 0 \$1,432 Market 0 \$1,099 Market 0 \$1,099 Market 0 \$1,099 Market 0 \$1,099 LIHTC 0 \$1,099 LIHTC 0 \$1,099 Market 0 \$1,187 Market 0 \$1,256 Market 0 \$1,397 Market 0 \$1,462 ILHTC/Mrkt 0 \$1,272 Market 0 \$1,272 Market 0 \$1,272 Market 0 \$1,327 Market 0 \$1,327 Market 0 \$1,327 Market 0 \$1,297</td> <td>ProgramUnitsLOWHIGHMarket0\$1,432\$1,647Market0\$1,099\$1,099Market0\$1,099\$1,099LIHTC0\$1,099\$1,099LIHTC0\$1,099\$1,099Market0\$1,187\$1,000Market0\$1,187\$1,000Market0\$1,256\$1,000Market0\$1,397\$1,756Market0\$1,397\$1,756Market0\$1,254\$696Market0\$1,272\$1,297ILHTC/Mrkt0\$1,272\$1,297Market0\$1,227\$1,297Market0\$1,327\$1,000LIHTC0\$1,297\$1,000Market0\$1,227\$1,000Market0\$1,327\$1,000Market0\$1,327\$1,000Market0\$1,327\$1,000Market0\$1,327\$1,000Market0\$1,327\$1,000Market0\$1,347\$1,000Market0\$1,347\$1,000Market0\$609\$758Market0\$609\$758Market0\$609\$1,273Market0\$1,347\$1,273Market0\$1,347\$1,273Market0\$1,347\$1,273Market0\$609\$758<</td> <td>Program Units LOW HIGH LOW Market 0 \$1,432 \$1,647 761 Market 0 \$1,099 750 Market 0 \$1,099 750 Market 0 \$1,099 750 LIHTC 0 \$1,099 750 LIHTC 0 \$1,099 750 Market 0 \$1,099 695 BOI-HUD 32 600 600 Market 0 \$1,256 600 Market 0 \$1,397 \$1,756 668 Market 0 \$1,462 810 10 LIHTC/Mrkt 0 \$554 \$696 800 Market 0 \$1,272 \$1,297 652 IHTC 0 \$1,522 \$1,297 615 Market 0 \$1,327 700 10 ILHTC/BOI 110 \$1,297 700 Market</td> <td>Program Units LOW HIGH LOW HIGH Market 0 \$1,432 \$1,647 761 833 Market 0 \$1,099 750 761 813 Market 0 \$1,187 695 744 695 744 BOI-HUD 32 600 615 736 615 736 615 736 615 736 616 73</td> <td>Program Units LOW HIGH LOW HIGH Foot 1 Market 0 \$1,432 \$1,647 761 833 \$1.72 Market 0 \$1,099 750 750 761 Market 0 \$1,099 750 750 761 Market 0 \$1,099 750 761 833 \$1.72 Market 0 \$1,099 750 761 810 750 761 803 \$1.75 Market 0 \$1,187 695 744 \$1.60 \$1.60 Market 0 \$1,256 600 814 \$1.60 Market 0 \$1,462 810 \$1.46 \$1.46 Market 0 \$1,462 810 \$1.73 \$1.73 Market 0 \$1,272 \$1,297 652 736 \$1.73 Market 0 \$1,327 708 \$1.46 \$1.46 \$1.46 \$1.</td> <td>Program Units LOW HIGH LOW HIGH Foot Range Market 0 \$1,432 \$1,647 761 833 \$1.72 \$2.16 Market 0 \$1,099 750 50 \$1.47 Market 0 \$1,099 750 50 \$1.47 Market 0 \$1,099 750 50 \$1.47 LIHTC 0 51,099 750 50 \$1.47 Market 0 \$1,187 695 744 \$1.60 \$1.71 BOI-HUD 32 695 744 \$1.60 \$1.71 Market 0 \$1.256 600 \$2.09 Market 0 \$1.397 \$1.756 668 958 \$1.46 \$2.63 Market 0 \$1.422 810 \$1.45 \$2.63 Market 0 \$1.422 \$1.297 \$1.62 \$1.61 \$1.45 \$1.80 LIHTC 0</td> <td>ProgramUnitsLOWHIGHLOWHIGHFoot RangeLOWMarket0\$1,432\$1,647761833\$1.72\$2.16\$1,672Market0\$1,09975051.432\$1.47\$1,345Market0\$1,09975051.477\$1,345LIHTC051.09975051.47\$1,345Market0\$1,187695744\$1.60\$1.71\$1,351BOI-HUD32695744\$1.60\$1.71\$1,351Market0\$1,25660081.46\$2.63\$1,785Market0\$1,256668958\$1.46\$2.63\$1,785Market0\$1,46281051.69\$0.69\$0.87\$2.067Market0\$1,46281050.69\$0.87\$5.55Market0\$1,46281050.69\$0.87\$5.55Market0\$1,46281050.69\$0.87\$5.52Market0\$1,46281050.69\$0.87\$5.52Market0\$1,272\$1.297652736\$1.73\$1.99\$1.526Market0\$1,327708<1</td> <1	Program Units LOW Units LOW Market 0 \$1,432 Market 0 \$1,099 Market 0 \$1,099 Market 0 \$1,099 Market 0 \$1,099 LIHTC 0 \$1,099 LIHTC 0 \$1,099 Market 0 \$1,187 Market 0 \$1,256 Market 0 \$1,397 Market 0 \$1,462 ILHTC/Mrkt 0 \$1,272 Market 0 \$1,272 Market 0 \$1,272 Market 0 \$1,327 Market 0 \$1,327 Market 0 \$1,327 Market 0 \$1,297	ProgramUnitsLOWHIGHMarket0\$1,432\$1,647Market0\$1,099\$1,099Market0\$1,099\$1,099LIHTC0\$1,099\$1,099LIHTC0\$1,099\$1,099Market0\$1,187\$1,000Market0\$1,187\$1,000Market0\$1,256\$1,000Market0\$1,397\$1,756Market0\$1,397\$1,756Market0\$1,254\$696Market0\$1,272\$1,297ILHTC/Mrkt0\$1,272\$1,297Market0\$1,227\$1,297Market0\$1,327\$1,000LIHTC0\$1,297\$1,000Market0\$1,227\$1,000Market0\$1,327\$1,000Market0\$1,327\$1,000Market0\$1,327\$1,000Market0\$1,327\$1,000Market0\$1,327\$1,000Market0\$1,347\$1,000Market0\$1,347\$1,000Market0\$609\$758Market0\$609\$758Market0\$609\$1,273Market0\$1,347\$1,273Market0\$1,347\$1,273Market0\$1,347\$1,273Market0\$609\$758<	Program Units LOW HIGH LOW Market 0 \$1,432 \$1,647 761 Market 0 \$1,099 750 Market 0 \$1,099 750 Market 0 \$1,099 750 LIHTC 0 \$1,099 750 LIHTC 0 \$1,099 750 Market 0 \$1,099 695 BOI-HUD 32 600 600 Market 0 \$1,256 600 Market 0 \$1,397 \$1,756 668 Market 0 \$1,462 810 10 LIHTC/Mrkt 0 \$554 \$696 800 Market 0 \$1,272 \$1,297 652 IHTC 0 \$1,522 \$1,297 615 Market 0 \$1,327 700 10 ILHTC/BOI 110 \$1,297 700 Market	Program Units LOW HIGH LOW HIGH Market 0 \$1,432 \$1,647 761 833 Market 0 \$1,099 750 761 813 Market 0 \$1,187 695 744 695 744 BOI-HUD 32 600 615 736 615 736 615 736 615 736 616 73	Program Units LOW HIGH LOW HIGH Foot 1 Market 0 \$1,432 \$1,647 761 833 \$1.72 Market 0 \$1,099 750 750 761 Market 0 \$1,099 750 750 761 Market 0 \$1,099 750 761 833 \$1.72 Market 0 \$1,099 750 761 810 750 761 803 \$1.75 Market 0 \$1,187 695 744 \$1.60 \$1.60 Market 0 \$1,256 600 814 \$1.60 Market 0 \$1,462 810 \$1.46 \$1.46 Market 0 \$1,462 810 \$1.73 \$1.73 Market 0 \$1,272 \$1,297 652 736 \$1.73 Market 0 \$1,327 708 \$1.46 \$1.46 \$1.46 \$1.	Program Units LOW HIGH LOW HIGH Foot Range Market 0 \$1,432 \$1,647 761 833 \$1.72 \$2.16 Market 0 \$1,099 750 50 \$1.47 Market 0 \$1,099 750 50 \$1.47 Market 0 \$1,099 750 50 \$1.47 LIHTC 0 51,099 750 50 \$1.47 Market 0 \$1,187 695 744 \$1.60 \$1.71 BOI-HUD 32 695 744 \$1.60 \$1.71 Market 0 \$1.256 600 \$2.09 Market 0 \$1.397 \$1.756 668 958 \$1.46 \$2.63 Market 0 \$1.422 810 \$1.45 \$2.63 Market 0 \$1.422 \$1.297 \$1.62 \$1.61 \$1.45 \$1.80 LIHTC 0	ProgramUnitsLOWHIGHLOWHIGHFoot RangeLOWMarket0\$1,432\$1,647761833\$1.72\$2.16\$1,672Market0\$1,09975051.432\$1.47\$1,345Market0\$1,09975051.477\$1,345LIHTC051.09975051.47\$1,345Market0\$1,187695744\$1.60\$1.71\$1,351BOI-HUD32695744\$1.60\$1.71\$1,351Market0\$1,25660081.46\$2.63\$1,785Market0\$1,256668958\$1.46\$2.63\$1,785Market0\$1,46281051.69\$0.69\$0.87\$2.067Market0\$1,46281050.69\$0.87\$5.55Market0\$1,46281050.69\$0.87\$5.55Market0\$1,46281050.69\$0.87\$5.52Market0\$1,46281050.69\$0.87\$5.52Market0\$1,272\$1.297652736\$1.73\$1.99\$1.526Market0\$1,327708<1	ProgramUnitsLOWHIGHLOWHIGHFoot RangeLOWHIGHMarket0\$1,432\$1,647761833\$1.72\$2.16\$1,672\$1,847Market0\$1,099750\$1.47\$1,345\$1.47\$1,345Market0\$1,099750\$1.47\$1,345\$1.47\$1,345LIHTC0\$1.87\$1.87\$1.67\$305\$766Market0\$1,187695744\$1.60\$1.71\$1.351BOI-HUD32600\$2.09\$1.535\$1.907Market0\$1.256600\$2.09\$1.535\$1.907Market0\$1.397\$1,756668958\$1.46\$2.63\$1,785\$1.907Market0\$1.462810\$0.69\$0.87\$5.75\$1.075Market0\$1.452\$1,297652736\$1.73\$1.99\$1.522\$1.575Market0\$1.272\$1.297652736\$1.73\$1.99\$1.522\$1.575LIHTC/Morit0\$1.297708\$1.45\$1.697\$1.697\$1.697LIHTC0\$1.297708\$1.58\$1.97\$1.697\$1.697\$1.697LIHTC/Morit0\$1.297708\$1.58\$1.97\$1.697\$1.697\$1.697Market0\$1.3	Program Units LOW HIGH LOW HIGH Foot Range LOW HIGH LOW	Program Units LOW HIGH LOW HIGH Foot Rage LOW HIGH LOW HIGH Market 0 \$1,432 \$1,647 761 833 \$1.72 \$2.16 \$1,672 \$1,847 1,064 1,140 Market 0 \$1,099 750 \$1,47 \$1,345 1,200 Market 0 \$1,099 750 \$1,47 \$1,345 1,200 Market 0 \$1,099 750 \$1,47 \$1,345 1,000 LIHTC 0 750 \$1,547 \$1,351 883 1,108 JMarket 0 \$1,187 695 744 \$1,60 \$1,71 \$1,351 883 1,108 BOI-HUD 32 600 \$2,067 \$1,551 890 Market 0 \$1,256 600 \$2,067 \$1,657 \$1,41 Market 0 \$1,462 810 \$1,80 \$1,520 \$1,640 Market 0 \$1,462 \$1,97 \$1,627 \$1,627 \$1,627 \$1,6	Program Unix LOW HIGH LOW HIGH For Low HIGH LOW HIGH LOW HIGH LOW HIGH For I Market 0 \$1,432 \$1,647 761 833 \$1.72 \$2.16 \$1,672 \$1,847 1.064 1,140 \$1.47 Market 0 \$1,099 - 750 - \$1.47 \$1,345 - 1,000 - \$0.00 LHTC 0 \$1,099 - 750 - \$1.47 \$1,345 - 1,000 - \$0.00 LHTC 0 \$1,187 - 695 744 \$1.60 \$1.71 \$1,351 - 883 \$1,20 \$0.07 Market 0 \$1,187 - 695 744 \$1.60 \$1.71 \$1,351 - 883 \$1,08 \$1.22 Market 0 \$1,397 \$1,756 668 958 \$1.46 \$2.63 \$1,755 \$1,075 \$1,08 \$1,140 \$1.45 Market 0 \$1,347 \$1,756 668 956 \$1.60 \$1.60 \$1.52 \$1,575 \$1,075 \$1,075 \$1,045 \$1.40 Marke

 Table 23: Rent Range for 1 & 2 Bedrooms – Effective Rents

NOTE: Shaded properties are LIHTC; Rents shown are effective rents - which account for utilities and rent concessions to normalize with subject property.

Ducie of Norma	D	3BR	Rent	3BR Squ	are Feet	Rent Per	r Square	4BR	Rent	4BR Squ	are Feet	Rent Per Square
Project Name	Program	LOW	HIGH	LOW	HIGH	Foot l	Range	LOW	HIGH	LOW	HIGH	Foot Range
Alta Surf Apts	Market											
Auburn Court Apts	Market											
Autumn Chase	Market											
Bay Pointe I/II	LIHTC	\$729	\$1,029	1,238		\$0.59	\$0.83					
Bay Pointe III	LIHTC	\$335	\$868	1,359		\$0.25	\$0.64					
Cape Landing Apts	Market	\$1,677		1,356			\$1.24					
Carver Apts	BOI-HUD											
Claypond Commons	Market											
Coastal Exchange Apts	Market	\$2,167		1,457			\$1.49					
Coastal Winds Apts	Market											
Flintlake Apt Homes	Market	\$1,917		1,508			\$1.27					
Monticello Park I/II/III	LIHTC/Mrkt	\$745	\$1,175	1,268		\$0.59	\$0.93					
Palmetto Pointe Apts	Market	\$1,797		1,276			\$1.41					
Patriots Way Apts	Market											
Pipers Pointe Apts	LIHTC	\$706	\$941	1,300		\$0.54	\$0.72					
Plantation Apts	LIHTC/BOI			1,095						1,256		
The Highlands at Socastee	LIHTC	\$540	\$635	1,200		\$0.45	\$0.53					
The Luxe at Market Commons	Market	\$2,047		1,255			\$1.63					
The Pointe at Myrtle Beach	Market	\$1,817		1,161	1,252	\$1.45	\$1.57					
Town Square Apts	Market											
Waterford Pointe	LIHTC	\$335	\$868	1,378		\$0.24	\$0.63					
Waterway Crossing Apts	Market											
Totals and Averages			\$1,129		1,293		\$0.87		NA		NA	NA
SUBJECT PROPERTY								-				
BAY POINTE IV APARTMENTS	LIHTC	\$810	\$1,017	1,218	1,218	\$0.67	\$0.83		NA		NA	NA
SUMMARY												
Overall			\$1,129		1,293		\$0.87		NA		NA	NA
Market Rate Only			\$1,800		1,324		\$1.36		NA		NA	NA
LIHTC Only			\$723		1,291		\$0.56		NA		NA	NA

 Table 24: Rent Range for 3 & 4 Bedrooms – Effective Rents

NOTE: Shaded properties are LIHTC; Rents shown are effective rents - which account for utilities and rent concessions to normalize with subject property.

Table 25a:	Project	Amenities
------------	---------	-----------


Project Name	Heat Type	Central Air	Wall A/C	Garbage Disposal	Dish Washer	Microwave	Ceiling Fan	Walk-in Closet	Mini Blinds	Patio/ Balcony	Club/ Comm. Room	Computer Center	Exercise Room
Alta Surf Apts	ELE	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Auburn Court Apts	ELE	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Autumn Chase	ELE	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Bay Pointe I/II	ELE	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No
Bay Pointe III	ELE	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cape Landing Apts	ELE	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Carver Apts	Gas	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Claypond Commons	ELE	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Coastal Exchange Apts	ELE	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Coastal Winds Apts	ELE	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
Flintlake Apt Homes	ELE	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Monticello Park I/II/III	ELE	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No
Palmetto Pointe Apts	ELE	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Patriots Way Apts	ELE	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
Pipers Pointe Apts	ELE	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No
Plantation Apts	ELE	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
The Highlands at Socastee	ELE	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
The Luxe at Market Commons	ELE	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
The Pointe at Myrtle Beach	ELE	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Town Square Apts	ELE	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
Waterford Pointe	ELE	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Waterway Crossing Apts	ELE	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Totals and Averages		100%	0%	77%	91%	64%	77%	86%	100%	59%	68%	59%	50%
SUBJECT PROJECT													
BAY POINTE IV APARTMENTS	ELE	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2BR	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
SUMMARY		_											
Overall		100%	0%	77%	91%	64%	77%	86%	100%	59%	68%	59%	50%
Market Rate Only		74%	0%	58%	74%	37%	53%	63%	74%	58%	42%	37%	42%
LIHTC Only		100%	0%	83%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	17%	100%	83%	50%
Subsidized Only		100%	0%	50%	0%	50%	50%	50%	100%	50%	50%	50%	0%

Project Name	Pool	Playground	Gazebo	Elevator	Exterior Storage	Sports Courts	On-Site Mgt	Security Intercom	Coin Op Laundry	Laundry Hookup	In-unit Laundry	Carport	Garage
Alta Surf Apts	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
Auburn Court Apts	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No
Autumn Chase	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
Bay Pointe I/II	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Bay Pointe III	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
Cape Landing Apts	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
Carver Apts	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No
Claypond Commons	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
Coastal Exchange Apts	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Coastal Winds Apts	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
Flintlake Apt Homes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes
Monticello Park I/II/III	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
Palmetto Pointe Apts	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
Patriots Way Apts	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No
Pipers Pointe Apts	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
Plantation Apts	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
The Highlands at Socastee	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
The Luxe at Market Commons	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
The Pointe at Myrtle Beach	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
Town Square Apts	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
Waterford Pointe	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
Waterway Crossing Apts	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No
Totals and Averages	50%	45%	50%	5%	41%	18%	100%	36%	50%	59%	27%	0%	27%
SUBJECT PROJECT													
BAY POINTE IV APARTMENTS	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
SUMMARY													
Overall	50%	45%	50%	5%	41%	18%	100%	36%	50%	59%	27%	0%	27%
Market Rate Only	58%	11%	21%	5%	32%	16%	74%	21%	21%	42%	32%	0%	32%
LIHTC Only	0%	100%	100%	0%	50%	0%	100%	67%	100%	67%	0%	0%	0%
Subsidized Only	0%	100%	50%	0%	0%	50%	100%	0%	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%

 Table 25b:
 Project Amenities

Project Name	Address	City	Telephone Number	Contact	On-Site Mgt	Waiting List	Concessions / Other	Survey Date
Alta Surf Apts	101 Breakers Dr	Myrtle Beach	(843) 903-0403	Katlynn	Yes	No	1 month free w/ 12-15 month lease	26-Apr-24
Auburn Court Apts	3675 Claypond Rd	Myrtle Beach	(843) 742-5165	Shannon	Yes	12 Names	None	29-Apr-24
Autumn Chase	3675 Claypond Rd	Myrtle Beach	(843) 742-5165	Shannon	Yes	No	None	30-Apr-24
Bay Pointe I/II	1408 Fisher Dr	Myrtle Beach	(843) 626-4848	Jessica	Yes	Long	None	15-May-24
Bay Pointe III	1170 Nance Street	Myrtle Beach	843-839-5356	Debbie	Yes	100+ Names	Absorbed as units became available	15-May-24
Cape Landing Apts	3851 Cape Landing Drive	Myrtle Beach	(843) 293-2273	Kimberly	Yes	No	Several evictions, and people moving	30-Apr-24
Carolina Oaks Village	1302 Scarlett Ln	Myrtle Beach	(843) 712-2028	NA	Yes	NA	Would not return calls	NA
Carver Apts	1008 Carver Street	Myrtle Beach	(843) 916-0263	Pat	Yes	1.5 Years	None	26-Apr-24
Claypond Commons	101 Rexford Ct	Myrtle Beach	(843) 903-5770	Evelyn	Yes	No	\$750 off 1st month	1-May-24
Coastal Exchange Apts	5895 Arbor Isle Way	Myrtle Beach	(843) 738-2297	Alexa	Yes	No	\$2,000-\$3,000 rent credit	29-Apr-24
Coastal Winds Apts	801 Tip Top Ln	Myrtle Beach	(843) 483-6795	Jennifer	Yes	No	Opened Jan-24, 5 month absorption	30-Apr-24
Flintlake Apt Homes	650 W Flintlake Ct	Myrtle Beach	(843) 236-5735	Lori	Yes	No	1 month free + \$150-\$200 off/month	26-Apr-24
Monticello Park I/II/III	1300 Osceola Street	Myrtle Beach	(843) 946-0051	Julie	Yes	100+ Names	7 names on WL are qualified for next unit	15-May-24
Palmetto Pointe Apts	3919 Carnegie Avenue	Myrtle Beach	(843) 293-7256	Emma	Yes	No	None	30-Apr-24
Patriots Way Apts	1500 Coastal Lane	Myrtle Beach	(843) 448-0027	Larry	Yes	12 Names	None	26-Apr-24
Pipers Pointe Apts	1310 3rd Avenue South	Myrtle Beach	(843) 448-0400	Angela	Yes	54 Names	None	3-May-24
Plantation Apts	200 Rittenhouse Rd	Myrtle Beach	(843) 790-6822	Carol	Yes	500+ Names	70 open units ; 40 senior units	26-Apr-24
The Highlands at Socastee	100 Vaught Place	Myrtle Beach	(843) 215-0222	Jakeria	Yes	Yes	None	9-May-24
The Luxe at Market Commons	1231 Hadley Cir	Myrtle Beach	(843) 823-1127	Ashley	Yes	No	App fee waived for immediate move-in	30-Apr-24
The Pointe at Myrtle Beach	3815 Maypop Cir	Myrtle Beach	(843) 491-4136	Shelly	Yes	No	Admin fee waived	26-Apr-24
Town Square Apts	410 8th Avenue South	Myrtle Beach	(843) 626-1589	Karen	Yes	8 Names	None	30-Apr-24
Waterford Pointe	124 Tibwin Ave	Myrtle Beach	(843) 234-1177	Ruthie	Yes	100+ Names	Absorbed as units became available	16-May-24
Waterway Crossing Apts	685 Burcate Road	Myrtle Beach	(843) 236-5775	Sherry	Yes	No	None	26-Apr-24

 Table 26: Other Information



Map 11: LIHTC Rental Developments – Myrtle Beach PMA

			CO	MPARAB	SLE PI	ROJECT	[INFO	RMAT	ION		
Project N Address: City: State: Phone Nu		Bay Po 1408 Fisl Myrtle B SC (843) 620	ner Dr each	II Zip Code:	2957	7					
Contact N Contact I Current O	Date:	Jessica 05/15/24 99.1%						Í			
	DEVI	ELOPMEN	T CHAI	RACTERIS	FICS						
Total Unit: Project Ty Program: PBRA Uni * Including Section	pe: its*:	106 Open LIHTC 0	other Project	-	uchers:	2010/2011 2 Yes 18					
				UNIT CO	NFIGUI	RATION/R	ENTAL F	RATES	•		
BR	<u>Bath</u>	Target	Type	<u># Units</u>	Contr <u>Low</u>	act Rent <u>High</u>	Squar <u>Low</u>	e Feet <u>High</u>	<u>Vacant</u>	Occup. <u>Rate</u>	Wait <u>List</u>
TOTA	L 2-BED	ROOM UN	ITS	56					0	100.0%	
2	2	50	Apt	28	\$699		1,072		0	100.0%	Yes
2	2	60	Apt	28	\$960	\$781	1,072		0	100.0%	Yes
		ROOM UN		50					1	98.0%	
3	2	50	Apt	25	\$799	****	1,238		0	100.0%	Yes
3	2	60	Apt	25	\$1,099	\$892	1,238		1	96.0%	Yes
ΤΟΤΑ	L DEVEI	LOPMENT	1	106					1	99.1%	Long
					A	MENITIES	5				
X	- Central - Wall A/ - Garbage	C Unit Disposal			X X X X	- Comput	ise nity Room er Center			Laundry Ty - Coin-Operate - In-Unit Hook - In-Unit Wash	d Laundry -Up
X X X X	 Dishwas Microwa Ceiling Ceiling Walk-In Mini-Bl Draperie 	ave Fan Closet inds			X X X	_	und			Parking Ty - Surface Lot - Carport - Garage (att) - Garage (det)	pe \$0 \$0 \$0
	- Patio/Ba - Basemen - Fireplac - High-Sp	nt			X X	- Security	Courts Manageme - Access (- Intercom	Gate	X	Utilities Inclusion - Heat - Electricity - Trash Remov - Water/Sewer	ELE

			CON	MPARAB	LE PF	ROJECT	INFO	RMAT	ION				
Project Na Address: City: State:	ame:	Bay Po 1170 Nai Myrtle B SC	nce Stre		29577	7							
Phone Nur Contact N Contact D Current O	ame: ate:	843-839- Debbie 05/15/24 100.0%											
	DEVI	ELOPMEN	T CHAI	RACTERIS	FICS				1				
Project Type: Open F Program: LIHTC A PBRA Units*: 0 V * Including Section 8, Rental Assistance, and any other Project-Base				Year Built:2023Floors:3Accept Vouchers:YesVoucher #:N/A									
* Including Sectio	n 8, Rental As	ssistance, and any	other Project	-	NEICHI	RATION/R	ENTAL 1	DATES					
				UNITCO		act Rent	Squar			Occup.	Wait		
BR	Bath	Target	Туре	# Units	Low	High	Squar Low	e reet High	Vacant	Rate	Vv alt List		
		ROOM UN		34					0	100.0%			
2	2	30	Apt	2	\$305		1,103	1,129	0	100.0%	Yes		
2	2	50	Apt	15	\$612		1,103	1,129	0	100.0%	Yes		
2	2	60	Apt	17	\$766		1,103	1,129	0	100.0%	Yes		
TOTA	3-BED	ROOM UN	ITS	36					0	100.0%			
3	2	30	Apt	3	\$335		1,359		0	100.0%	Yes		
3	2	50	Apt	15	\$691		1,359		0	100.0%	Yes		
3	2	60	Apt	18	\$868		1,359		0	100.0%	Yes		
TOTAL	L DEVE	LOPMENT	1	70					0	100.0%	100+ Names		
					Al	MENITIES	5						
	X - Dishwasher					- Comput - Exercise	ise nity Room	loom	Х	Laundry Type - Coin-Operated Laundry - In-Unit Hook-Up - In-Unit Washer/Dryer Parking Type			
X X	X - Ceiling Fan X - Walk-In Closet X - Mini-Blinds					- Swimmi - Playgrou - Gazebo	und		X	 Surface Lot Carport Garage (att) 			
	- Draperies - Patio/Balcony - Basement - Fireplace					- Elevator X - Storage - Sports Courts X - On-Site Management - Security - Access Gate				- Garage (det) \$0 Utilities Included - Heat ELE			
							- Access (- Intercon		- Electricity X - Trash Removal - Water/Sewer				

Address: 13 City: M State: SC Phone Number: (8) Contact Name: Er Contact Date: Er Current Occup: 0.0 DEVELO Total Units: Project Type: Op Program: LII PBRA Units*: 0 * Including Section 8, Rental Assistance 2 2 2 2 2 2 TOTAL 2-BEDROOC 3 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2	843) 712-2028 nter nter 0% DPMENT CH. 8 pen IHTC nce, and any other Proj	ARACTERIST Year Built Floors: Accept Vou Voucher # iect-Based Subsidy UNIT CO <u>e # Units</u> 24 7	:: uchers: : : :	7 2016 2 Yes NA RATION/F act Rent <u>High</u>	Squar <u>Low</u>	RATES re Feet High	Vacant NA	Occup. Rate	Wait List
Total Units: 48 Project Type: Op Program: LII PBRA Units*: 0 * Including Section 8, Rental Assistant BR Bath TOTAL 2-BEDROO 2 2 2 2 TOTAL 3-BEDROO 3 2 3 2 3 2	3 pen IHTC nce, and any other Proj <u>Target Type</u> <u>OM UNITS</u> HOME Apt	Year Built Floors: Accept Vor Voucher #: eect-Based Subsidy UNIT CO <u>e #Units</u> 24 7	: uchers: : NFIGUI Contr	2 Yes NA RATION/F act Rent	Squar <u>Low</u>	e Feet		Rate	List
TOTAL 2-BEDROO 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 TOTAL 3-BEDROO 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2	OM UNITS HOME Apt	<u># Units</u> 24 7	Contr	act Rent	Squar <u>Low</u>	e Feet		Rate	List
TOTAL 2-BEDROO 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 TOTAL 3-BEDROO 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2	OM UNITS HOME Apt	24 7			Low			Rate	List
2 2 H 2 2 2 2 TOTAL 3-BEDROO 3 2 H 3 2 3 2	HOME Apt	7					NA		N T -
2 2 2 2 TOTAL 3-BEDROO 3 2 H 3 2 3 2	- 1						11/1	NA	NA
3 2 H 3 2 3 2	60 Apt				925 925 925				
3 2 3 2	OM UNITS	24					NA	NA	NA
	HOMEApt50Apt60Apt	1			1,125 1,125 1,125				
TOTAL DEVELOP	PMENT	48	IN	NFO NOT AVAILABLE WOULD NOT RETURN					
	•	-		MENITIES			1		
Unit AmeX- Central A/C- Wall A/C Ur- Garbage DisX- DishwasherX- MicrowaveX- Ceiling Fan- Walk-In ClooX- Mini-Blinds- Draperies- Patio/Balcon- Basement- FireplaceX- High-Speed		Development Amenities X - Clubhouse - Community Room X - Computer Center X - Computer Center X - Exercise/Fitness Room - Community Kitchen - Swinming Pool - Playground - Gazebo - Elevator - Storage - Sports Courts X - On-Site Management - Security - Access Gate - Security - Intercom					X - In-Unit Hook-Up - In-Unit Washer/Dryer X - Surface Lot - Carport \$0 - Garage (att) \$0 - Garage (det) \$0 Utilities Included - Heat ELE - Electricity		

			CO	MPARAE	RIFPR	OIFCI		рмат	ION			
						OJECI						
Project N	ame:			ark I/II/II	Ι							
Address:		1300 Os		reet								
City:		Myrtle B	leach				-					
State:		SC		Zip Code:	29577	1	-					
Phone Nu	mber:	(843) 94	6-0051							SHE		
Contact N	Name:	Julie							THE			
Contact I	Date:	05/15/24					36					
Current O	ccup:	97.9%					26 B		i P			
	DEV	ELOPMEN	T CHA	RACTERIS	FICS							
Total Unit	s:	192		Year Built	:	2003-08		-				
Project Ty		Open		Floors:		2 and 3						
Program:	F	LIHTC/M	lrkt	Accept Vo	uchers:	Yes		And and a state		and the second second		
PBRA Uni	its*:	0		Voucher #		N/A	- 49	-				
-		ssistance, and any	other Project									
				UNIT CO	NFIGUE	ATION/F	RENTAL I	RATES				
					Contra	act Rent	Squar	e Feet		Occup.	Wait	
<u>BR</u>	<u>Bath</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u># Units</u>	Low	<u>High</u>	Low	<u>High</u>	<u>Vacant</u>	<u>Rate</u>	List	
ТОТА	L 1-BED	ROOM UN	NITS	16					1	93.8%		
1	1	50	Apt	8	\$554		800		1	87.5%	Yes	
1	1	60	Apt	8	\$696		800		0	100.0%	Yes	
TOTAL 2-BEDROOM UNITS		108					1	99.1%				
2	2	50	Apt	50	\$750	\$657	1,049		1	98.0%	Yes	
2	2	60	Apt	50	\$827	\$657	1,049		0	100.0%	Yes	
2	2	Mrkt	Apt	8	\$1,075		1,049		0	100.0%	Yes	
		ROOM UN		68	****				2	97.1%		
3	2	50	Apt	32	\$840	\$745	1,268		0	100.0%	Yes	
3	2	60	Apt	32	\$941	\$745	1,268		2	93.8%	Yes	
3	2	Mrkt	Apt	4	\$1,175		1,268		0	100.0%	Yes	
ТОТА	L DEVE	LOPMENT	[192					4	97.9%	100+ Names	
						AENITIES			1			
37	-	<u>Amenities</u>			<u>D</u>	evelopmen		<u>ies</u>	37	Laundry 7		
	- Central				V	- Clubhou				- Coin-Operat	•	
	- Wall A/				X		nity Room er Center		<u>X</u>	- In-Unit Hoo		
	- Dishwa	e Disposal					e/Fitness R	oom	┝───	- In-Unit Was	ner/Dryer	
	- Microw						nity Kitche			Parking T	'wno	
	- Ceiling					- Swimm	-	-11	X	- Surface Lot	<u>ype</u>	
	- Walk-In				X	- Playgrou				- Carport	\$0	
	- Mini-Bl				X	- Gazebo	una		├ ───	- Garage (att)		
	- Draperi					- Elevator	r		├ ──	- Garage (det)		
	- Patio/Ba					- Storage	-			Sarage (all	φ0	
	- Baseme	-				- Sports C	Courts			Utilities Inc	luded	
	- Fireplac				Х		Managem	ent		- Heat	ELE	
	-	beed Interne	t			-	- Access (- Electricity		
	<i>U</i>						- Intercon		X - Trash Removal			
						- ,			MR - Water/Sewer			

			CON	MPARAE	BLE PH	ROJEC	F INFO	RMAT	ION			
Project N	ame	Pipers	Pointe	Ants								
Address:	anne.	1310 3rd					40%					
City:		Myrtle B		Jouin								
State:		SC	cacii	Zip Code:	2957	7						
				P	_,						and the second	
Phone Nu		(843) 44	8-0400					~				
Contact N		Angela										
Contact I		05/03/24									The con the	
Current O	ccup:	98.6%										
	DEV	ELOPMEN	T CHAI	RACTERIS	FICS						These lists	
Total Unit	s:	72		Year Built	:	2006						
Project Ty	pe:	Open		Floors:		3	100					
Program:		LIHTC		Accept Vo	uchers:	Yes						
PBRA Uni	its*:	0		Voucher #	:	15			1			
* Including Secti	on 8, Rental As	ssistance, and any	other Project	Based Subsidy								
				UNIT CO	NFIGUI	RATION/H	RENTAL I	RATES	_			
					Contr	act Rent	Squar	e Feet		Occup.	Wait	
BR	<u>Bath</u>	Target	Type	<u># Units</u>	Low	<u>High</u>	Low	<u>High</u>	<u>Vacant</u>	Rate	List	
ТОТА	L 2-BED	ROOM UN	ITS	36					0	100.0%		
2	2	50	Apt	21	\$623		1,122		0	100.0%	Yes	
2	2	60	Apt	15	\$825		1,122		0	100.0%	Yes	
ТОТА	L 3-BED	ROOM UN	ITS	36					1	97.2%		
3	2	50	Apt	21	\$706		1,300		0	100.0%	Yes	
3	2	60	Apt	15	\$941		1,300		1	93.3%	Yes	
ТОТА	L DEVE	LOPMENT		72					1	98.6%	54 Names	
					Al	MENITIE	S		-			
		Amenities			<u>I</u>)evelopme		ies		Laundry T	ype	
	- Central				Х	- Clubho				- Coin-Operat		
	- Wall A/						nity Room			- In-Unit Hool	•	
	-	e Disposal			X		er Center			- In-Unit Was	her/Dryer	
	- Dishwas						e/Fitness R					
	- Microwa						nity Kitche	en	v	Parking T	<u>vpe</u>	
	- Ceiling				X	- Swimm	0			- Surface Lot - Carport	\$0	
	- Walk-In - Mini-Bl				X	- Playgro - Gazebo				- Garage (att)	\$0 \$0	
	- Milli-ы - Draperie				Λ	- Gazebo - Elevato				- Garage (att) - Garage (det)		
	- Patio/Ba					- Storage			<u> </u>	- Jarage (uel)	ψυ	
	- Basemer	-				- Sports (Utilities Incl	uded	
	- Fireplac				Х		Managem	ent		- Heat	ELE	
		eed Internet	t				- Access (- Electricity		
	8 SP				- Security - Intercom					X - Trash Removal		
									- Water/Sewer			

			CO	MPARAB	LE PH	ROJECT	INFO	RMAT	ION		
Project N Address: City: State: Phone Nu		The Hi 100 Vau Myrtle B SC (843) 215	ght Plac each	ls at Soca e Zip Code:	stee 29588	3					
Contact N Contact I Current O	Name: Date: Decup:	Jakeria 05/09/24 100.0%		RACTERIST	TICS						
Total Unit Project Ty Program: PBRA Uni * Including Secti	s: pe: its*:	44 Open LIHTC 0 ssistance, and any		Year Built Floors: Accept Vor Voucher #: -Based Subsidy	: 1chers:	2018 2 and 3 Yes N/A					
				UNIT CO		RATION/F	:		1		
<u>BR</u>	<u>Bath</u>	<u>Target</u>	Type	<u># Units</u>	Contr <u>Low</u>	act Rent <u>High</u>	Squar <u>Low</u>	e Feet <u>High</u>	<u>Vacant</u>	Occup. <u>Rate</u>	Wait <u>List</u>
		ROOM UN	ITS	20					0	100.0%	
2	2	50	Apt	5	\$545		1,000		0	100.0%	Yes
2	2	60	Apt	15	\$655		1,000		0	100.0%	Yes
TOTA 3	L 3-BED	ROOM UN 50		24 4	\$610		1,200		0	100.0%	Yes
3	2	50 60	Apt Apt	4 20	\$705		1,200		0	100.0%	Yes
-		LOPMENT		44	+		-,_ • •		0	100.0%	Yes
					Al	MENITIES	5				
Unit Amenities X - Central A/C - - Wall A/C Unit - - Garbage Disposal X - Dishwasher X - Microwave X - Ceiling Fan X - Walk-In Closet				Development AmenitiesLatX- ClubhouseXX- Community Room- In-UX- Computer Center- In-UX- Exercise/Fitness Room- In-UX- Community KitchenPan- Swimming PoolX- Surfa					- Coin-Operate - In-Unit Hook- - In-Unit Wash		
X - Ceiling Fan X - Walk-In Closet X - Mini-Blinds - Draperies - Draperies X - Patio/Balcony - Basement - Fireplace - High-Speed Internet					X - Playground X - Gazebo - Elevator X - Storage - Sports Courts X - On-Site Management - Security - Access Gate X - Security - Intercom				- Carport - Garage (att) - Garage (det) - Utilities Inclu - Heat - Electricity - Trash Remova - Water/Sewer	\$0 \$0 ded ELE	

		CON	MPARAB	BLE PH	ROJECT	INFO	RMAT	ION		
Project Name: Address: City: State: Phone Number: Contact Name: Contact Date: Current Occup:	Dinte Zip Code:	29579)							
DEVH Total Units: Project Type: Program: PBRA Units*: * Including Section 8, Rental As	-	: uchers: :	2023 3 Yes 16							
			UNIT CO	NFIGUI	RATION/R	ENTAL I	RATES			
<u>BR Bath</u>	<u>Target</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u># Units</u>	Contr <u>Low</u>	act Rent <u>High</u>	Squar <u>Low</u>	e Feet <u>High</u>	<u>Vacant</u>	Occup. <u>Rate</u>	Wait <u>List</u>
TOTAL 2-BED	ROOM UN	ITS	36					1	97.2%	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	30 50 60	Apt Apt Apt	3 5 28	\$305 \$612 \$766		1,195 1,195 1,195	1,231 1,231 1,231	0 0 1	100.0% 100.0% 96.4%	Yes Yes Yes
		-		\$700		1,175	1,231			103
TOTAL 3-BEDI 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2	30 50 60	Apt Apt Apt Apt	36 3 5 28	\$335 \$691 \$868		1,378 1,378 1,378		2 0 0 2	94.4% 100.0% 100.0% 92.9%	Yes Yes Yes
TOTAL DEVEI	OPMENT		72					3	95.8%	100+ Names
				A	MENITIES	1				
Unit AX- Central A- Wall A/GX- GarbageX- DishwasX- MicrowaX- Ceiling IX- Walk-InX- Mini-Bli- Draperie- Patio/Ba- Basemer- Fireplace- High-Sp	Development Amenities - Clubhouse X - Community Room X - Computer Center X - Exercise/Fitness Room X - Community Kitchen - Swimming Pool X - Playground X - Gazebo - Elevator X - Storage - Sports Courts X - On-Site Management - Security - Access Gate X - Security - Intercom					95.8% 100+ Names Laundry Type - Coin-Operated Laundry - In-Unit Hook-Up - In-Unit Washer/Dryer Parking Type - Surface Lot - Carport \$0 - Garage (att) \$0 - Garage (det) \$0 Utilities Included - Heat ELE - Electricity				

5. Market Rent Calculations

Estimated market rental rates for each unit type have been calculated based on existing conventionally-financed rental developments within the primary market area. Modifications to the base rent of these properties were made based on variances to the subject proposal in age, unit sizes, unit and development amenities, location, and utilities included in the rent. Further, comparable rents were adjusted based on whether or not concessions are currently being offered, if necessary. While the estimated achievable market rent is a speculative figure (due to other factors not part of the calculation, including location of unit within structure, quality of amenities, and overall fit and finish), the calculations provide an idea of competitiveness within the local marketplace. Using the Rent Comparability Grid on the following pages, the following is a summary of the estimated market rents by bedroom size along with the subject property's corresponding market advantage:

	Proposed Net Rent	Estimated Market Rent	Market Advantage
One-Bedroom Units			
50% AMI	\$609	\$1,235	51%
60% AMI	\$758	\$1,235	39%
Two-Bedroom Units			
50% AMI	\$719	\$1,559	54%
60% AMI	\$898	\$1,559	42%
Three-Bedroom Uni	ts		
50% AMI	\$810	\$1,782	55%
60% AMI	\$1,017	\$1,782	43%

As can be seen, the proposed rents (at 60 percent AMI) are well-below the achievable market rate rental rates for each unit size – averaging between 39 and 43 percent lower. Therefore, it is clear that the proposed targeting and rent structure are appropriate for the Myrtle Beach PMA.

Subject Property		Com	p #1	Com	p #2	Com	p #3	Com	p #4	Com	p #5
			Exchange		Winds				Landing		uxe at
Project Name			pts		pts	Patriots	Way Apts	Apts		Market Commons	
Project City		Myrtle	Beach	Myrtle	Beach	Myrtle	Beach	Myrtle	e Beach	Myrtle	Beach
Financing	Subject	Ma	rket	Ma	rket	Ma	rket	Ma	rket	Ma	rket
Date Surveyed	Data	4/29	9/24	4/30	0/24	4/2	6/24	4/2	6/24	4/30	0/24
A. Design, Location, Condition	1	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj
Structure Type	Apt	Apt		TH	(\$75)	Apt		Apt		Apt	
Yr. Built/Yr. Renovated	2026	2022	\$3	2023	\$2	2011	\$11	2007	\$14	2020	\$5
Neighborhood/Location											(\$125)
B. Unit Amenities		Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj
Central A/C	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes	
Garbage Disposal	Yes	Yes		Yes		No	\$5	Yes		Yes	
Dishwasher	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes	
Microwave	Yes	Yes		Yes		No	\$5	Yes		Yes	
Walk-In Closet	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes	
Mini-Blinds	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes	
Patio/Balcony	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes	
Basement	No	No		No		No		No		No	
C. Site Amenities		Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj
Elevator	No	No		No		No		No		Yes	(\$5)
Club/Community Room	Yes	Yes		No	\$5	No	\$5	Yes		Yes	
Computer Center	Yes	Yes		No	\$3	No	\$3	No	\$3	Yes	
Exercise Room	Yes	Yes		No	\$5	No	\$5	Yes		Yes	
Swimming Pool	No	Yes	(\$5)	No		Yes	(\$5)	Yes	(\$5)	Yes	(\$5)
Playground	Yes	No	\$5	No	\$5	No	\$5	Yes		No	\$5
Sports Courts	No	No		No		No		Yes	(\$3)	Yes	(\$3)
On-Site Management	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes	
Security (intercom/cam/gate)	No	Yes	(\$5)	No		No		No		Yes	(\$5)
Extra Storage	No	No		No		No		Yes	(\$5)	Yes	(\$5)
D. Other Amenities		Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj
Coin-Operated Laundry	Yes	No	\$5	No	\$5	No	\$5	Yes		No	\$5
In-Unit Hook-Up	Yes	No	\$10	No	\$10	Yes		Yes		No	\$10
In-Unit Washer/Dryer	No	Yes	(\$20)	Yes	(\$20)	No		No		Yes	(\$20)
Carport	No	No		No		No		No		No	
Garage	No	Yes	(\$15)	No		No		Yes	(\$15)	Yes	(\$15)
Other Adjustments	No	Yes	(\$50)	No		No		Yes	(\$50)	Yes	(\$75)
E. Utilities Included		Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj
Heat	No	No		No		No		No		No	
Electric	No	No		No		No		No		No	
Water/Sewer	No	No		No		Yes	Х	No		No	
Trash Removal	Yes	No	Х	No	Х	Yes		No	X	No	Х
Heat Type	ELE	ELE		ELE		ELE		ELE		ELE	
Litility Adjustments											
Utility Adjustments One-Bedroom Units			\$22						¢22		\$22
Two-Bedroom Units			\$22 \$22		\$22		$(\phi \in \Omega)$		\$22		\$22
Three-Bedroom Units					\$22		(\$62)		\$22		\$22
пгее-веагоот Units			\$22						\$22		\$22

Rent Comparability Grid - Market Rate

Subject Property		Com	<i>p #1</i>	Com	omp #2 Comp #3		Comp #4		Comp #5		
Project Name		Coastal Exchange		Coasta	Coastal Winds		Way Apts	River Landing		The Luxe at	
T Tojeet Ivanie		Aj	ots	A	Apts		nuj npis	A	pts	Market Commons	
Project City	Subject	Myrtle	Myrtle Beach		Myrtle Beach N		Beach	Myrtle	Beach	Myrtle Beach	
Date Surveyed	Data	4/29/	2024	4/30/	/2024	4/26/2024		4/26/2024		4/30/	/2024
F. Average Unit Sizes		Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj
One-Bedroom Units	756	813	(\$9)					728	\$4	615	\$21
Two-Bedroom Units	968	1,189	(\$33)	1,141	(\$26)	970	(\$0)	993	(\$4)	985	(\$3)
Three-Bedroom Units	1,218	1,457	(\$36)					1,456	(\$36)	1,255	(\$6)
G. Number of Bathrooms		Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj	Data	\$ Adj
One-Bedroom Units	1.0	1.0	\$0					1.0	\$0	1.0	\$0
Two-Bedroom Units	2.0	2.0	\$0	2.5	(\$15)	2.0	\$0	2.0	\$0	2.0	\$0
Three-Bedroom Units	2.0	2.0	\$0					2.0	\$0	2.0	\$0
G. Total Adjustments Recap											
One-Bedroom Units			(\$59)						(\$35)		(\$190)
Two-Bedroom Units			(\$83)		(\$79)		(\$23)		(\$42)		(\$214)
Three-Bedroom Units			(\$86)						(\$74)		(\$217)

		Com	p #1	Com	p #2	Com	p #3	Com	p #4	Com	p #5
Project Name			Coastal Exchange Apts Myrtle Beach		l Winds pts	Patriots '	Way Apts	River Landing Apts		The Luxe at Market Commons	
Project City	Subject	Myrtle	Beach	Myrtle	Beach	Myrtle	Beach	Myrtle	Beach	Myrtle	Beach
Date Surveyed	Data	4/29/	4/29/2024		/2024	4/26/2024		4/26/2024		4/30/2024	
H. Rent/Adjustment Summary	/Adjustment Summary		Adjusted Rent	Unadj. Rent	Adjusted Rent	Unadj. Rent	Adjusted Rent	Unadj. Rent	Adjusted Rent	Unadj. Rent	Adjusted Rent
Market Rate Units											
One-Bedroom Units	\$1,235	\$1,375	\$1,316					\$1,114	\$1,079	\$1,500	\$1,310
Two-Bedroom Units	\$1,559	\$1,763	\$1,680	\$2,045	\$1,966	\$1,399	\$1,376	\$1,355	\$1,313	\$1,675	\$1,461
Three-Bedroom Units	\$1,782	\$2,145	\$2,059					\$1,554	\$1,480	\$2,025	\$1,808

H. INTERVIEWS

Throughout the course of performing this analysis of the Myrtle Beach rental market, many individuals were contacted. Based on discussions with local government officials, new multi-family development has slowed somewhat over the past year, although a new 360-unit market rate facility (Mason Myrtle Beach Apartments) was approved by the city in January 2024 – no other information was available. In addition, two affordable multi-family homes also got preliminary approval, but require further approval to move forward – additional details were provided. In addition, officials noted that workforce and affordable housing is severely lacking within the area, and have developed a workforce housing plan to attempt to address the issue.

Additional information was collected during property visits and informal interviews with leasing agents and resident managers throughout the Myrtle Beach rental market as part of our survey of existing rental housing to collect more specific data. The results of these interviews are presented within the supply section of the market study. Based on these interviews, generally positive occupancy levels were reported throughout the local rental market with specials/ concessions at many market-rate properties.

Furthermore, most LIHTC developments mentioned extremely long waiting lists and high daily traffic volume. It was further noted by several tax credit facilities that while the waiting list is 100+ names (these people are on a "prospect" call list, and have not filled out an application), a smaller number has been asked to apply and is on a qualified/approved list utilized for the next available unit(s).

I. CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the information collected and reported within this study, sufficient evidence has been presented for the successful new construction and absorption of Bay Pointe IV Apartments, as proposed within the project description of this report. Factors supporting the introduction of the subject property include the following: extremely strong demographic growth, positive rental conditions within affordable rental properties, the rapid absorption of the area's two most recent LIHTC developments, a positive development location, and a competitive product (targeting/ rental structure, unit sizes, and amenity/features).

Considering these positive factors, along with additional information presented within this report, the proposed facility should maintain at least a 93 percent occupancy rate into the foreseeable future with no long-term adverse effects on existing local rental facilities – either affordable or market rate. As such, assuming the subject proposal is developed as described within this analysis, Shaw Research and Consulting can provide a positive recommendation for the proposed development with no reservations or conditions.

J. SIGNED STATEMENT REQUIREMENTS

I affirm that I have made a physical inspection of the market and surrounding area and that information obtained in the field has been used to determine the need and demand for LIHTC units. I understand that any misrepresentation of this statement may result in the denial of further participation in the South Carolina State Housing Finance & Development Authority's programs. I also affirm that I have no financial interest in the project or current business relationship with the ownership entity and my compensation is not contingent on this project being funded. This report was written according to the SCSHFDA's market study requirements. The information included is accurate and can be relied upon by SCSHFDA to present a true assessment of the low-income housing rental market.

LiPth.

Steven R. Shaw SHAW RESEARCH AND CONSULTING, LLC

Date: June 4, 2024

K. SOURCES

Apartment Listings – SCSHFDA Website – schousing.com

Apartment Listings - YP The real Yellow Pages - www.yellowpages.com

Census Data - American Community Survey - 5-Year Estimates - U.S. Census Bureau

Census Data - Demographic Forecasts, ESRI Business Analyst Online

Census Data - U.S. Census of Population and Housing - U.S. Census Bureau

Community Profile - Horry County - SC Department of Employment & Workforce

CPI Inflation Calculator - Bureau of Labor Statistics - U.S. Department of Labor

Income & Rent Limits – South Carolina State Housing Finance & Development Authority

Income & Rent Limits – Novogradac and Company

Interviews with community planning officials

Interviews with managers and leasing specialists at local rental developments

Maps – Microsoft Streets and Trips

Maps – Google Maps – www.google.com/maps

Single-Family Home Sales - www.realtor.com

South Carolina Industry Data – SC Works Online Services

South Carolina Labor Market Information - SC Works Online Services

South Carolina LIHTC Allocations – SC State Housing Finance & Development Authority

L. RESUME

STEVEN R. SHAW SHAW RESEARCH & CONSULTING, LLC

Mr. Shaw is a principal at Shaw Research and Consulting, LLC. With over thirty-three years of experience in market research, he has assisted a broad range of clients with the development of various types of housing alternatives throughout the United States, including multi-family rental properties, single-family rental developments, for-sale condominiums, and senior housing options. Clients include developers, federal and state government agencies, non-profit organizations, and financial institutions. Areas of expertise include market study preparation, pre-feasibility analysis, strategic targeting and market identification, customized survey and focus group research, and demographic and economic analysis.

Previous to forming Shaw Research in January 2007, he most recently served as partner and Director of Market Research at Community Research Services (2004-2006). In addition, Mr. Shaw also was a partner for Community Research Group (1999-2004), and worked as a market consultant at Community Targeting Associates (1997-1999). Each of these firms provided the same types of services as Shaw Research and Consulting.

Additional market research experience includes serving as manager of automotive analysis for J.D. Power and Associates (1992-1997), a global automotive market research firm based in Troy, Michigan. While serving in this capacity, Mr. Shaw was responsible for identifying market trends and analyzing the automotive sector through proprietary and syndicated analytic reports. During his five-year tenure at J.D. Power, Mr. Shaw developed a strong background in quantitative and qualitative research measurement techniques through the use of mail and phone surveys, focus group interviews, and demographic and psychographic analysis. Previous to J.D. Power, Mr. Shaw was employed as a Senior Market Research Analyst with Target Market Systems (the market research branch of First Centrum Corporation) in East Lansing, Michigan (1990-1992). At TMS, his activities consisted largely of market study preparation for housing projects financed through RHS and MSHDA programs. Other key duties included the strategic targeting and identification of new areas for multi-family and single-family housing development throughout the Midwest.

A 1990 graduate of Michigan State University, Mr. Shaw earned a Bachelor of Arts degree in Marketing with an emphasis in Market Research, while also earning an additional major in Psychology.